arXiv:1612.07780v1 [math.PR] 22 Dec 2016

EXTREMES OF GAUSSIAN RANDOM FIELDS WITH MAXIMUM VARIANCE ATTAINED OVER SMOOTH CURVES

PENG LIU

Abstract: Let $X(s,t),(s,t)\in E$, with $E\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ a compact set, be a centered two dimensional Gaussian random field with continuous trajectories and variance function $\sigma(s,t)$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}=\{(s,t):\sigma(s,t)=\max_{(s',t')\in E}\sigma(s',t')\}$. In this contribution, we derive the exact asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E}X(s,t)>u\right\}$, as $u\to\infty$, under condition that $\mathcal{L}$ is a smooth curve. We illustrate our findings by an application concerned with extremes of the aggregation of two independent fractional Brownian motions.

Key Words: Gaussian random fields; Exact asymptotics; Maximum variance attained over a curve; Piterbarg-Pickands Lemma; Pickands constant; Piterbarg constant.

AMS Classification: Primary 60G15; secondary 60G70

1. Introduction

Tail asymptotics of supremum of Gaussian processes and Gaussian random fields are investigated substantially in the literature, most of which consider the stationary Gaussian random fields or non-stationary case with unique maximum point of variance, see e.g., [1–16]. Recently, in [17], the extremes of Gaussian random fields with unique point of maximum variance and more general local variance and correlation structure have been considered.

Specifically, let $X(s,t),(s,t)\in E=[-T_1,T_1]\times[-T_2,T_2]$ be a Gaussian random field with continuous trajectories and variance $\sigma(s,t)$ attaining the maximum at unique point $(0,0)$ with $\sigma(0,0)=1$ and set

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}a_{11}&a_{12}\\a_{21}&a_{22}\end{pmatrix},\quad B=\begin{pmatrix}b_{11}&b_{12}\\b_{21}&b_{22}\end{pmatrix}.$$

[17] investigates the Gaussian random field X with regularly varying dependence structure, i.e., for $A\neq 0$, $|B|\neq 0$,

$$1-r(s,t,s_1,t_1)\sim\rho_1^2(|a_{11}(s-s_1)+a_{12}(t-t_1)|)+\rho_2^2(|a_{21}(s-s_1)+a_{22}(t-t_1)|), \tag{1}$$

holds for $|s-s_1|,|t-t_1|,|B(s,t)^\top|\to 0$, and

$$1-\sigma(s,t)\sim v_1^2(|b_{11}s+b_{12}t|)+v_2^2(|b_{21}s+b_{22}t|),\quad |B(s,t)^\top|\to 0, \tag{2}$$

where $|B|$ is the determinant of B, $D^\top$ is the transpose of D for any matrix D, $\rho_i,v_i\geq 0$, $\rho_i\in\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_i/2},\alpha_i\in(0,2]$ and $v_i\in\mathcal{R}_{\beta_i/2},\beta_i>0$ (here $\mathcal{R}_\gamma$ stands for the class of regularly varying functions at 0 with index γ, see, e.g., [18]). Due to the regularity of $\rho_i,v_i,i=1,2$, and the locally non-additive dependence of correlation and variance structure, qualitatively new types of tail asymptotics for $M=\sup_{(s,t)\in E}X(s,t)$ have been obtained in [17]. It is worthwhile to note that the invertibility of B plays the key role to ensure that the maximum of σ is attained at a unique point. Correspondingly, if B is non-invertible, (2) shows that σ attains maximum at $\{(s,t):B(s,t)^\top=0\}$, which is an uncountable set. The non-uniqueness of the maximum variance renders the the problem of the tail asymptotics of M essentially quite different from the case considered in [17]. This has already been addressed in [19] for standard assumptions on the variance and the covariance of the Gaussian random field X, i.e., v_i,r_i are simple power functions. In this paper, as a continuation and complement to the results obtained in [17, 19], we investigate the case of $|B|=0$ and $B\neq 0$ considering general structures for variance and covariance function of X.

As motivated in [19], Gaussian random fields with non-unique point of maximum (attained on a line) appear in connection with the Shepp statistics defined by

$$Y(t)=\sup_{s\in[0,T_1]}X(s+t)-X(s),\quad t\in[0,T_2],$$

Date: December 23, 2016.

where $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a centered Gaussian process with continuous trajectories. Of interest in statistics is the tail asymptotics of the supremum of Y, i.e., the asymptotics of

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T_2]} Y(t) > u\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in[0,T_1]\times[0,T_2]} (X(s+t) - X(s)) > u\right\}, \quad u \to \infty, \tag{3}$$

where the Gaussian random field $X(s+t) - X(s), (s,t) \in [0,T_1] \times [0,T_2]$ satisfies (1) and (2) with $B \neq 0$ and $|B| = 0$ and the maximum points of variance are $\{(s,T) : 0 \leq s \leq T_1\}$. In [19] the asymptotics of (3) is derived for X being an fBm, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and Brownian bridge. We claim that the asymptotics of (3) for general Gaussian process X can be derived by using our results in this paper. Since our main focus is on the curve case, in this paper we don't present the results.

As mentioned above, [19] investigates Gaussian random fields where the maximum point of the variance is attained on a line. In this paper we shall consider more general cases where the maximum point of the variance of X is attained on a smooth curve. We give an application of this new result to the study of the aggregation of two independent fractional Brownian motions.

Brief outline of the rest of the paper: Section 2 is dedicated to the case that the variance of X is maximal on a line followed by Section 3 which extends those findings to the case that the maximum of the variance of X is attained on a smooth curve. An application is displayed in Section 4 followed by Section 5 which contains all the proofs.

2. Maximum variance attained over a line

Let $X(s,t), (s,t) \in E = [-T_1, T_1] \times [-T_2, T_2]$ be a centered Gaussian field with continuous trajectories and correlation and variance functions satisfying (1) and (2) with $A, B \neq 0, |B| = 0$. We study the asymptotics of

$$\pi(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E} X(s,t) > u\right\}, \quad u \to \infty.$$

Let in the following $B_\alpha(t), t \geq 0$ be a standard fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst index $\alpha \in (0,2]$ and covariance satisfying

$$Cov\left(B_\alpha(s), B_\alpha(t)\right) = \frac{|s|^\alpha + |t|^\alpha - |t-s|^\alpha}{2},$$

and define

$$W_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s,t) = \sqrt{2}B_{\alpha_1}(s) + \sqrt{2}B_{\alpha_2}(t) - |s|^{\alpha_1} - |t|^{\alpha_2},$$

with $B_{\alpha_i}, i = 1,2$ two independent fBms with indices $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0,2]$.

In order to proceed with our findings, we give first the definition of Pickands and Piterbarg constants which are crucially important in the extreme theory of Gaussian processes and fields. Define first the Pickands constant by

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha[0,S] = \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]} e^{\sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - |t|^\alpha}\right)\right\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \lim_{S\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}[0,S]}{S},$$

and Piterbarg constant by

$$\mathcal{P}^\gamma_\alpha[S_1,S_2] = \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sup_{t\in[S_1,S_2]} e^{\sqrt{2}B_\alpha(t) - (1+\gamma)|t|^\alpha}\right)\right\}, \quad \mathcal{P}^\gamma_\alpha = \lim_{S\to\infty} \mathcal{P}^\gamma_\alpha[S,S], \quad \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^\gamma_\alpha = \lim_{S\to\infty} \mathcal{P}^\gamma_\alpha[0,S],$$

for $\alpha \in (0,2]$, $\gamma > 0, S_1 < S_2$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}^\infty_\alpha = \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^\infty_\alpha = 1$. Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{H}^{\gamma,b,\beta}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(S) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\{|s+bt|\leq S, 0\leq t\leq S\}} e^{W_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s,t) - \gamma|s+bt|^\beta}\right), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma,b,\beta}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(S) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{\{0\leq s+bt\leq S, 0\leq t\leq S\}} e^{W_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s,t) - \gamma|s+bt|^\beta}\right),$$

where $\beta > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}, S \geq 0$. For simplicity, denote by

$$\mathcal{H}^{\gamma,b}_\alpha(S) := \mathcal{H}^{\gamma,b,\alpha}_{\alpha,\alpha}(S), \quad \mathcal{H}^{\gamma,b}_\alpha := \lim_{S\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{\gamma,b}_\alpha(S)}{S}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma,b}_\alpha(S) := \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma,b,\alpha}_{\alpha,\alpha}(S), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma,b}_\alpha := \lim_{S\to\infty} \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma,b}_\alpha(S)}{S}. \tag{4}$$

For the extensions and related properties of Pickands-Piterbarg constants, see e.g., [1, 2, 4–9, 11, 19–27] and the references therein. One can refer to [19] and [17] for the existence of the limit in (4).

Throughout this paper we shall assume that $\text{rank}(A) = 2$, the case $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ shall be considered separately due to too many technical details.

Let $Z(s,t) = X(A^{-1}(s,t)^\top)$. Then by (1) and (6), we have

$$1 - r_Z(s,t,s_1,t_1) \sim \rho_1^2(|s-s_1|) + \rho_2^2(|t-t_1|), \quad |s-s_1|, |t-t_1|, |BA^{-1}(s,t)^\top|, |BA^{-1}(s_1,t_1)^\top| \to 0, \tag{5}$$

and

$$1-\sigma_Z(s,t)\sim v_1^2(|c_{11}s+c_{12}t|)+v_2^2(|c_{21}s+c_{22}t|), \tag{6}$$

with

$$BA^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12}\\ c_{21} & c_{22}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $BA^{-1}\neq 0$ and $|BA^{-1}|=0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $c_{11}\neq 0$. Then it follows that (5) and (6) can be rewritten as

$$1-r(s,t,s_1,t_1)\sim \rho_1^2(|s-s_1|)+\rho_2^2(|t-t_1|),\quad |s-s_1|,|t-t_1|,|s+bt|,|s_1+bt_1|\to 0, \tag{7}$$

and

$$1-\sigma(s,t)\sim v^2(|s+bt|),\quad |s+bt|\to 0, \tag{8}$$

with $b=\frac{c_{12}}{c_{11}}$ and

$$v=\sqrt{|c_{11}|^{\beta_1}v_1^2+|c_{21}|^{\beta_2}v_2^2}\in\mathcal{R}_{\beta/2},\quad \beta=\min(\beta_1,\beta_2)I_{\{c_{21}\neq 0\}}+\beta_1 I_{\{c_{21}=0\}}.$$

For further analysis, we assume that

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{\rho_2^2(t)}{\rho_1^2(t)}=\eta\in[0,\infty],\quad \lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{v^2(t)}{\rho_i^2(t)}=\gamma_i\in[0,\infty]. \tag{9}$$

Let $X(s,t),(s,t)\in E$ be a centered Gaussian random field with continuous trajectories. Suppose $\sigma(s,t)$ attains its maximum , which equals 1, at $\mathcal{L}:=\{(s,t)\in[-T_1,T_1]\times[-T_2,T_2],|s+bt|=0\}$. Moreover, assume that

$$Corr\,(X(s,t),X(s',t'))<1,\quad (s,t)\neq(s',t'),(s,t),(s',t')\in[-T_1,T_1]\times[-T_2,T_2]. \tag{10}$$

Hereafter, let $\Psi(u)$ denote the tail distribution of a standard normal random variable. For any $v\in\mathcal{R}_\lambda,\lambda>0$, $\overleftarrow{v}$ denotes the asymptotic (unique) inverse of $f\in\mathcal{R}_\gamma$ defined by $\overleftarrow{v}(x)=\inf\{y\in(0,1]:v(y)>x\},\quad x>0$. See, e.g., [18] for the definitions and properties of asymptotic inverse functions. Recall that we denote by $\mathcal{P}_\alpha^\infty=1$.

$\diamond$ Case 1. $b=0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that (7)-(10) hold with $b=0$.*
If $\gamma_1=0$, then

$$\pi(u)\sim 4T_2\Gamma(1/\beta+1)\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\frac{\overleftarrow{v}(1/u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}\Psi(u).$$

If $\gamma_1\in(0,\infty]$, then

$$\pi(u)\sim 2T_2\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1}\frac{1}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}\Psi(u).$$

$\diamond$ Case 2. $b\neq 0$ and $\eta\in(0,\infty)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that (7)-(10) hold with $b\neq 0$ and further assume that $\eta\in(0,\infty)$.*
i) If $\gamma_1=0$, then

$$\pi(u)\sim 4\min(T_2,T_1/|b|)\Gamma(1/\beta+1)\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\frac{\overleftarrow{v}(1/u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}\Psi(u).$$

If $\gamma_1\in(0,\infty)$, then

$$\pi(u)\sim\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}\frac{2\min(T_2,T_1/|b|)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u).$$

If $\gamma_1=\infty$, then

$$\pi(u)\sim(|b|^{\alpha_1}\eta^{-1}+1)^{1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\frac{2\min(T_2,T_1/|b|)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u).$$

Remarks 2.3. *Assume that $X(s,t),(s,t)\in E$ is a Gaussian random field with $E=([S_1,S_2]\times[T_1,T_2])\cap\{(s,t):s_0+s+b(t+t_0)\geq 0\}$ and $(s_0,t_0)\in(S_1,S_2)\times(T_1,T_2)$. The maximum of variance is attained over*

$$E\cap\{(s,t):s_0+s+b(t+t_0)=0\}=\{(s,t):s_0+s+b(t+t_0)=0,t_1\leq t\leq t_2\}.$$

This means that the maximum is attained at the boundary of E. If (7)-(10) are satisfied with $[-S_1,S_1]\times[-T_1,T_1]$ replaced by E and $s+bt$ replaced by $s_0+s+b(t+t_0)$, then Theorem 2.1 holds with $2T_2$ replaced by t_2-t_1, $\Gamma(1/\beta+1)$

replaced by $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(1/\beta+1)$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1}$ *replaced by* $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1}$. *Theorem 2.2 holds with* $2\min(T_2, T_1/|b|)$ *replaced by* t_2-t_1, $\Gamma(1/\beta+1)$ *replaced by* $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(1/\beta+1)$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1, b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}$ *replaced by* $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1, b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}$.

2.0.1. *Discussion.* In this subsection, we will show that all the cases for $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ can be reduced to Case 1-2. Without loss of generality, we assume that $c_{11} \neq 0$. Then we continue with the analysis from (7) and (8). The following hold:

- $\underline{b = 0}$: this case is covered by Case 1.
- $\underline{b \neq 0, \eta = 0}$: let $Y(s,t) = X(t, \frac{s-t}{b}), (s,t) \in E_Y = \{(s,t), (t, \frac{s-t}{b}) \in [-T_1, T_1] \times [-T_2, T_2]\}$. Then σ_Y attains its maximum on $\{(0,t), |t| \leq \min(|T_1|, |bT_2|)\}$ and in light of Lemma 6.4 in [17], we have
$$1 - r_Y(s,t,s_1,t_1) \sim \rho_1^2(|t-t_1|) + \rho_2^2(|b^{-1}(s-s_1-(t-t_1))|) \sim |b|^{-\alpha_2}\rho_2^2(|s-s_1|) + \rho_1^2(|t-t_1|),$$
for $\quad |s-s_1|, |t-t_1|, |s| \to 0$, and $1 - \sigma_Y(s,t) \sim v^2(|s|), s \to 0$.
- $\underline{b \neq 0, \eta \in (0,\infty)}$: this case is covered by Case 2.
- $\underline{b \neq 0, \eta = \infty}$: let $Y(s,t) = X(s-bt, t), (s,t) \in E_Y = \{(s,t), (s-bt, t) \in [-T_1, T_1] \times [-T_2, T_2]\}$. Then σ_Y attains its maximum over $\{(0,t), |t| \leq \min(|T_1/b|, |T_2|)\}$ and in light of Lemma 6.4 in [17], we have
$$1 - r_Y(s,t,s_1,t_1) \sim \rho_1^2(|s-s_1-b(t-t_1)|) + \rho_2^2(|t-t_1|) \sim \rho_1^2(|s-s_1|) + \rho_2^2(|t-t_1|),$$
for $\quad |s-s_1|, |t-t_1|, |s| \to 0$, and $1 - \sigma_Y(s,t) \sim v^2(|s|), \quad s \to 0$.

3. Maximum variance attained over a curve

In the previous section, the maximum of variance is attained over a straight line. Whereas, in this section we focus on the scenarios that the maximum of variance is attained over a smooth curve. Let $X(s,t), (s,t) \in E = [S_1, S_2] \times [T_1, T_2]$ be a centered Gaussian process with continuous trajectories, maximum variance equaling 1 attained over $\mathcal{L} = \{(s,t) : (s,t) \in E, s = f(t)\}$ with f a function. Let f, g be two continuous functions with g satisfying $0 < c_1 \leq g(t) \leq c_2 < \infty, t \in [T_1, T_2]$ and f satisfying

F. $f \in C^1((T_1, T_2))$ and $f(T_i) \in [S_1, S_2], i = 1, 2$. Moreover, $\inf_{t \in (T_1, T_2)} |f'(t)| > 0$.

Denote by $\overleftarrow{f}(t)$ the inverse function of f. Inspired by (7) and (8) and the application in section 4, we assume that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{(s,t),(s',t') \in E, (s,t) \neq (s',t'), |s-s'|, |t-t'|, |s-f(t)|, |s'-f(t')| < \delta} \left| \frac{1 - r(s,t,s',t')}{\rho_1^2(|s-s'|) + \rho_2^2(|t-t'|)} - 1 \right| = 0, \tag{11}$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{(s,t) \in E, |s-f(t)| < \delta} \left| \frac{1 - \sigma(s,t)}{v^2(g(t)|s-f(t)|)} - 1 \right| = 0. \tag{12}$$

Moreover, assume that

$$Corr\left(X(s,t), X(s',t')\right) < 1, \quad (s,t) \neq (s',t'), (s,t), (s',t') \in [S_1, S_2] \times [T_1, T_2]. \tag{13}$$

Note that assumption (12) can be justified by (57) and (58), which are the local behaviors of variances of the crucial example in section 4. We use the same natation as in (9) in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that (11)-(13) hold with f satisfying* **F** *and $\eta = 0$.*
If $\gamma_2 = 0$, then
$$\pi(u) \sim 2 \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (g(t))^{-1} dt \Gamma(1/\beta + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i} \frac{\overleftarrow{v}(1/u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u) \overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)} \Psi(u).$$
If $\gamma_2 \in (0, \infty]$, then
$$\pi(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2}^{\gamma_2 |f'(t) g(t)|^{\alpha_2}} |f'(t)| dt \frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that (11)-(13) hold with f satisfying* **F** *and $\eta \in (0, \infty)$.*
If $\gamma_1 = 0$, then
$$\pi(u) \sim 2 \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (g(t))^{-1} dt \Gamma(1/\beta + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i} \frac{\overleftarrow{v}(1/u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u) \overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)} \Psi(u).$$

If $\gamma_1 \in (0,\infty)$, *then*

$$\pi(u) \sim \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1 (g(t))^{\alpha_1}, -\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(t)|} dt \frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}.$$

If $\gamma_1 = \infty$, then

$$\pi(u) \sim \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (\eta^{-1}|f'(t)|^{\alpha_1} + 1)^{1/\alpha_1} dt \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that (11)-(13) hold with f satisfying* **F** *and $\eta = \infty$.*
If $\gamma_1 = 0$, *then*

$$\pi(u) \sim 2 \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (g(t))^{-1} dt \Gamma(1/\beta + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i} \frac{\overleftarrow{v}(1/u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)} \Psi(u).$$

If $\gamma_1 \in (0,\infty]$, *then*

$$\pi(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1 (g(t))^{\beta}} dt \frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}.$$

Remarks 3.4. *Assume that $X(s,t), (s,t) \in E$ is a Gaussian field with $E^{\pm} = ([S_1, S_1] \times [T_1, T_2]) \cap \{(s,t) : \pm(s - f(t)) \geq 0\}$. The maximum of variance is attained over the curve $([S_1, S_1] \times [T_1, T_2]) \cap \{(s,t) : s - f(t) = 0\}$. This means that the maximum of variance is attained at the boundary of $E^{\pm}$. We further assume that (11)-(13) and* **F** *are all satisfied. Then Theorems 3.1-3.3 hold with $\Gamma(1/\beta + 1)$ replaced by $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(1/\beta + 1)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\gamma,b}$ by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\alpha}^{\gamma,b}$.*

4. Applications

Let $B_{\alpha_i}(t), i = 1, 2$ be independent fBms with indices $\alpha_i \in (0,2), i = 1, 2$ respectively. Of interest is the asymptotic of

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}} (B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u \right\}, \quad \text{with } E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} = \{(s,t) : |s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2} \leq 1\}.$$

Note that $\sigma(s,t) = \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t))}$ attains 1 at $\mathcal{L} = \{(s,t) : |s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2} = 1\}$. Applying Theorems 3.1-3.3, we derive the following results.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$. If $\alpha_2 < 1$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}} (B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u \right\} \sim \frac{2^{3-1/\alpha_1-1/\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i} \int_0^1 (1 - t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1 - 1} dt u^{2/\alpha_1 + 2/\alpha_2 - 2} \Psi(u).$$

If $\alpha_2 = 1$, *then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}} (B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u \right\} \sim 2^{3-1/\alpha_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} u^{2/\alpha_1} \Psi(u).$$

If $\alpha_2 > 1$, *then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}} (B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u \right\} \sim \frac{2^{2-1/\alpha_1} \alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \int_0^1 (1 - t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1 - 1} t^{\alpha_2 - 1} dt u^{2/\alpha_1} \Psi(u).$$

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$. If $\alpha < 1$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}} (B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u \right\} \sim \frac{2^{3-2/\alpha}}{\alpha} (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha})^2 \int_0^1 (1 - t^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha - 1} dt u^{4/\alpha - 2} \Psi(u).$$

If $\alpha = 1$, *then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}} (B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u \right\} \sim 2 \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1^{1,-1} u^2 \Psi(u).$$

If $\alpha > 1$, *then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}} (B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u \right\} \sim 2^{2-1/\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \int_0^1 \left(1 + t^{\alpha(\alpha-1)} (1 - t^{\alpha})^{1-\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha} dt u^{2/\alpha} \Psi(u).$$

5. Proofs

Throughout this section, we denote by $\mathbb{Q}$ a positive constant that may be different from line to line.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 For the analysis of the asymptotics, we introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(u) &= \{(s,t)\in E, |s+bt|\le \overleftarrow{v}(\ln u/u)\}, \qquad I_k(u)=[k\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S,(k+1)\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S],\\
J_l(u) &= [l\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S,(l+1)\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S], \quad I_{k,l}(u)=I_k(u)\times J_l(u),\\
N_1(u) &= \left[\frac{\overleftarrow{v}(\ln u/u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S}\right], \quad N_2(u)=\left[\frac{\min(T_2,T_1/|b|)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S}\right],\\
u_{k,l}^{+\epsilon} &= u\left(1+(1+\epsilon)\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} v^2(|s+bt|)\right), \quad u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}=u\left(1+(1-\epsilon)\inf_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} v^2(|s+bt|)\right),\\
K_u^+ &= \{(k,l): I_{k,l}(u)\cap E(u)\neq\emptyset\}, \quad K_u^- = \{(k,l): I_{k,l}(u)\subset E(u)\},\\
E_l^+ &= \{k: I_{k,l}(u)\cap E(u)\neq\emptyset\}, \quad E_l^- = \{k: I_{k,l}(u)\subset E(u)\},\\
\Lambda_1(u) &= \{(k,l,k_1,l_1):(k,l),(k_1,l_1)\in K_u^-,(k,l)\neq(k_1,l_1),k\le k_1, I_{k,l}\cap I_{k_1,l_1}(u)\neq\emptyset\},\\
\Lambda_2(u) &= \{(k,l,k_1,l_1):(k,l),(k_1,l_1)\in K_u^-,,k\le k_1, I_{k,l}\cap I_{k_1,l_1}(u)=\emptyset, |\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})(l-l_1)S|\le\epsilon\},\\
\Lambda_3(u) &= \{(k,l,k_1,l_1):(k,l),(k_1,l_1)\in K_u^-,,k\le k_1, I_{k,l}\cap I_{k_1,l_1}(u)=\emptyset, |\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})(l-l_1)S|\ge\epsilon\},
\end{aligned}$$

with $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small.

It follows that for ϵ_1 sufficiently small,

$$\pi_1(u)\le\pi(u)\le\pi_1(u)+\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{E\setminus E_{\epsilon_1}} X(s,t)>u\right\}+\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{E_{\epsilon_1}\setminus E(u)} X(s,t)>u\right\}, \tag{14}$$

with

$$\pi_1(u)=\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E(u)} X(s,t)>u\right\}, \quad E_{\epsilon_1}=\{(s,t):|s+bt|\le\epsilon_1\}\cap E.$$

By the fact that

$$\sup_{(s,t)\in E\setminus E_{\epsilon_1}}\sigma(s,t)<1-\delta$$

with $0<\delta<1$ and using Borell-TIS inequality ([28]), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E\setminus E_{\epsilon_1}} X(s,t)>u\right\}\le e^{-\frac{(u-a)^2}{2(1-\delta)^2}} \tag{15}$$

with $a=\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E\setminus E_{\epsilon_1}} X(s,t)\right)$. In light of (7) and (8), we have for u sufficiently large,

$$\sup_{(s,t)\in E\setminus E(u)}\sigma(s,t)\le 1-\left(\frac{\ln u}{2u}\right)^2,$$

and, with ϵ_2 sufficiently small, for $|s-s_1|,|t-t_1|,|s+bt|,|s_1+bt_1|\le\epsilon_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left((\overline{X}(s,t)-\overline{X}(s_1,t_1))^2\right)=2(1-r(s,t,s_1,t_1)) &\le 4(\rho_1^2(|s-s_1|)+\rho_2^2(|t-t_1|))\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}\left(|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1/2}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2/2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\mathbb{E}\left((\overline{X}(s,t)-\overline{X}(s_1,t_1))^2\right)\le\mathbb{Q}\left(|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1/2}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2/2}\right),(s,t),(s_1,t_1)\in E_{\epsilon_1}\setminus E(u).$$

Consequently, by Lemma 5.1 in [29] or Theorem 8.1 in [4], for u large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_{\epsilon_1}\setminus E(u)} X(s,t)>u\right\} &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_{\epsilon_1}\setminus E(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>\frac{u}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{\ln u}{2u}\right)^2}}\right\}\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}u^{4/\alpha_1+4/\alpha_2}\Psi\left(\frac{u}{1-\left(\frac{\ln u}{2u}\right)^2}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which together with (14), (15) and the fact that $\pi_1(u)\ge\Psi(u)$ leads to

$$\pi(u)\sim\pi_1(u), \quad u\to\infty.$$

Next we focus on $\pi_1(u)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $|b|T_2 \le T_1$.

$\underline{\gamma_1 = 0}$. Bonferroni inequality leads to

$$\sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^-} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_{k,l}^{+\epsilon}\right\} - \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Sigma_i(u) \le \pi_1(u) \le \sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^+} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}\right\}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\Sigma_i(u) = \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_i(u)} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}, \sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_{k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}\right\}, \quad i = 1,2,3.$$

In order to apply Lemma 6.1, we set

$$X_{u,k,l}(s,t) = \overline{X}(k\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S + s, l\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S + t), (s,t) \in I_{0,0}(u), (k,l) \in K_u^+.$$

It follows from (7) and Lemma 6.1 that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{(k,l)\in K_u^+} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{0,0}(u)} X_{u,k,l}(s,t) > u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}\right\}}{\Psi(u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon})} - \prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,S]\right| = 0. \tag{18}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^+} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}\right\} &\sim \prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,S] \sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^+} \Psi(u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}) \\
&\sim \prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,S]\Psi(u) \sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^+} e^{-(1-\epsilon)u^2 \inf_{s\in I_{k,l}(u)} v^2(|s+bt|)} \\
&\sim \prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,S]\Psi(u) \sum_{|l|\le N_2(u)+1} \sum_{k\in E_l^+} e^{-(1-\epsilon)u^2 \inf_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} v^2(|s+bt|)}. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\{k : |k + a_l(u)| \le N_1(u) - a_1(u) - 2\} \subset E_l^- \subset E_l^+ \subset \{k : |k + a_l(u)| \le N_1(u) + a_1(u) + 2\},$$

with $a_l(u) = \left[\frac{b\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})l}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})}\right], l \in \mathbb{R}$.

By $\eta \in (0,\infty)$, we have

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})} = \eta^{-1/\alpha_1},$$

which implies that for $2|b|\eta^{-1/\alpha} + 2 \le L \le |k + a_l(u)| \le N_1(u) + a_1(u) + 2$ and u large enough,

$$(L - 2|b|\eta^{-1/\alpha} - 2)\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S \le \inf_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} |s + bt| \le \sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} |s + bt| \le (L + 2|b|\eta^{-1/\alpha} + 2)\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S.$$

By Lemma 6.1 in [17], we have that for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, as u sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{v^2(|s+bt|)}{v^2(|s'+bt'|)} &\ge (1-\epsilon/2)\min\left(\left|\frac{s+bt}{s'+bt'}\right|^{\beta-\epsilon}, \left|\frac{s+bt}{s'+bt'}\right|^{\beta+\epsilon}\right) \\
&\ge (1-\epsilon/2)\left(\frac{L - 2|b|\eta^{-1/\alpha} - 2}{L + 2|b|\eta^{-1/\alpha} + 2}\right)^{\beta+\epsilon}, \quad (s,t),(s',t') \in I_{k,l}(u)
\end{aligned}$$

with $L \le |k + a_l(u)| \le N_1(u) + a_1(u) + 2, |l| \le N_2(u) + 1$. Thus for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists $k_\epsilon > 1$ such that for u large enough,

$$\inf_{s\in I_{k,l}(u)} v^2(|s+bt|) \ge (1-\epsilon)\sup_{s\in I_{k,l}(u)} v^2(|s+bt|), \quad k_\epsilon \le |k + a_l(u)| \le N_1(u) + a_1(u) + 2, |l| \le N_2(u) + 1. \tag{20}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 6.3 in [17] and as a continuation of (19) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^+} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}\right\} \\
&\le \prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,S]\Psi(u) \sum_{|l|\le N_2(u)+1} (2k_\epsilon + 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{S^2\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\sum_{k_\epsilon\le|k+a_l(u)|\le N_1(u)+a_1(u)+2}\int_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)}e^{-(1-\epsilon)^2u^2v^2(|s+bt|)}dsdt\Bigg)$$

$$\le\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,S]\Psi(u)\sum_{|l|\le N_2(u)+1}\left(2k_\epsilon+1+\frac{2}{S\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})}\int_0^{\overleftarrow{v}(\ln u/u)}e^{-(1-\epsilon)^2u^2v^2(|s|)}ds\right)$$

$$\sim\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,S]\Psi(u)2(N_2(u)+1)\left(2k_\epsilon+1+\frac{2}{S\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})}\int_0^{\overleftarrow{v}(\ln u/u)}e^{-(1-\epsilon)^2u^2v^2(|s|)}ds\right)$$

$$\sim\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,S]\Psi(u)2(N_2(u)+1)\left(2k_\epsilon+1+\frac{2(1-\epsilon)^{-2/\beta}\Gamma(1/\beta+1)\overleftarrow{v}(u^{-1})}{S\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})}\right)$$

$$\sim\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\frac{4T_2\Gamma(1/\beta+1)\overleftarrow{v}(u^{-1})}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u),\quad u\to\infty,S\to\infty,\epsilon\to0. \tag{21}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^-}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k,l}^{+\epsilon}\right\}\sim\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\frac{4T_2\Gamma(1/\beta+1)\overleftarrow{v}(u^{-1})}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u),\quad u\to\infty,S\to\infty,\epsilon\to0. \tag{22}$$

Next we will show that $\Sigma_i(u), i=1,2,3$, are all negligible in comparison with $\sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^+}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}\right\}$. For any $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_1$, without loss of generality, we assume that $k+1=k_1$. Let

$$I_{k,l}^1(u)=[k\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S,\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})((k+1)S-\sqrt{S})]\times J_l(u),\quad I_{k,l}^2=[\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})((k+1)S-\sqrt{S}),(k+1)\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S]\times J_l(u),$$

then $I_{k,l}(u)=I_{k,l}^1(u)\cup I_{k,l}^2(u)$ and

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon},\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}\right\}$$

$$\le\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}^1(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon},\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}\right\}+\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}^2(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}\right\}$$

Analogously as in (18), we have

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u^-}\left|\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}^2(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon}\right\}}{\Psi(u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon})}-\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[0,\sqrt{S}]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S]\right|=0.$$

Moreover, in light of (7) and Lemma 5.4 in [17] (or Corollary 3.2 in [30]) we have for u large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}^1(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon},\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}\right\}\le\mathcal{C}S^4e^{-\mathcal{C}_1S^{\alpha^*/4}}\Psi(u_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}),$$

and for $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon},\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_{k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}\right\}\le\mathcal{C}S^4e^{-\mathcal{C}_1|(k-k_1)^2+(l-l_1)^2|^{\alpha^*/4}S^{\alpha^*/2}}\Psi(u_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}),$$

with $u_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon}=\min(u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon},u_{k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon})$, $\alpha^*=\min(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$ and $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}_1$ being some fixed positive constants independent of S and u. Since each $I_{k,l}(u)$ has at most 8 neighbors, then

$$\Sigma_1(u)\le2\sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_1(u)}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[0,\sqrt{S}]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S]+\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[0,S]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,\sqrt{S}]+\mathcal{C}S^4e^{-\mathcal{C}_1S^{\alpha^*/4}}\right)\Psi(u_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon})$$

$$\le16\sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^-}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[0,\sqrt{S}]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S]+\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[0,S]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,\sqrt{S}]+\mathcal{C}S^4e^{-\mathcal{C}_1S^{\alpha^*/4}}\right)\Psi(u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon})$$

$$=o\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{v}(u^{-1})}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty,S\to\infty, \tag{23}$$

and

$$\Sigma_2(u)\le\sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_2(u)}\mathcal{C}S^4e^{-\mathcal{C}_1|(k-k_1)^2+(l-1_1)^2|^{\alpha^*/4}S^{\alpha^*/2}}\Psi(u_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{-\epsilon})$$

$$\leq \sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^-}\Psi(u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon})\mathcal{C}S^4\sum_{|k_1|+|l_1|\geq 1}e^{-\mathcal{C}_1|k_1^2+l_1^2|^{\alpha^*/4}S^{\alpha^*/2}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{(k,l)\in K_u^-}\Psi(u_{k,l}^{-\epsilon})\mathcal{C}S^4e^{-\mathbb{Q}S^{\alpha^*/2}} = o\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{v}(u^{-1})}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty, S\to\infty. \tag{24}$$

For $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_3(u)$, $|t-t_1|\geq\epsilon/2$ holds with $(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)$ and $(s_1,t_1)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)$. Then by (10), for u large enough,

$$\mathrm{Var}(\overline{X}(s,t)+\overline{X}(s_1,t_1)) = 2(1+r(s,t,s_1,t_1))\leq 2+2\sup_{|t-t_1|\geq\epsilon/2}r(s,t,s_1,t_1)\leq 4-\delta$$

holds with $0<\delta<1$ for $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Sigma_3(u),(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u),(s_1,t_1)\in I_{k_1,l_1}(u)$. Further, Borell-TIS inequality leads to

$$\Sigma_3(u) \leq \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_3(u)}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t,s_1,t_1)\in I_{k,l}(u)\times I_{k_1,l_1}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)+\overline{X}(s_1,t_1)>2u\right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_3(u)}e^{-\frac{(2u-a_1)^2}{2(4-\delta)}}\leq\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}e^{-\frac{(2u-a_1)^2}{2(4-\delta)}} = o\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{v}(u^{-1})}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty, \tag{25}$$

with $a_1=2\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E\cap\{(s,t):|s+bt|\leq\epsilon\}}\overline{X}(s,t)\right)$. Inserting (21)-(25) into (17) yields that

$$\pi_1(u)\sim 4T_2\Gamma(1/\beta+1)\prod_{i=1}^2\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\frac{\overleftarrow{v}(u^{-1})}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u),\quad u\to\infty.$$

This establishes the claim.

$\gamma_1\in(0,\infty)$. We first introduce some new notation for further analysis. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{J}_l(u) &= \{|s+bt|\leq\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S, l\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S\leq t\leq(l+1)\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S\},\\ J_{k,l}(u) &= \{k\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S\leq s+bt\leq(k+1)\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S, l\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S\leq t\leq(l+1)\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S\},\\ L_u &= \{(k,l):k\neq 0,-1,|k|\leq N_1(u)+1,|l|\leq N_2(u)+1\},\quad u_k^{-\epsilon}=u\left(1+(1-\epsilon)\inf_{s\in I_k(u)}v^2(|s|)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using Bonferroni inequality, we have

$$\pi_2(u)-\sum_{i=4}^5\Sigma_i(u)\leq\pi_1(u)\leq\pi_2(u)+\sum_{(k,l)\in L_u}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u_k^{-\epsilon}\right\}, \tag{26}$$

where

$$\pi_2(u)=\sum_{|l|\leq N_2(u)+1}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in\widehat{J}_l(u)}\frac{\overline{X}(s,t)}{1+(1+o(1))v^2(|s+bt|)}>u\right\},$$

$$\Sigma_4(u)=\sum_{|l|\leq N_2(u)+1}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in\widehat{J}_l(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u,\sup_{(s,t)\in\widehat{J}_{l+1}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u\right\},$$

$$\Sigma_5(u)=\sum_{|l|,|l_1|\leq N_2(u)+1,l+2\leq l_1}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in\widehat{J}_l(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u,\sup_{(s,t)\in\widehat{J}_{l_1}(u)}\overline{X}(s,t)>u\right\}.$$

In order to apply Lemma 6.1, we set

$$X_{u,l}(s,t)=\overline{X}(s-bl\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S, l\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S+t),(s,t)\in\widehat{J}_0(u),|l|\leq N_2(u)+1,$$

By (7) and the fact that

$$u^2v^2(|\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})s+b\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})t|)\to\gamma_1|s+b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}t|^\beta,\quad u\to\infty,$$

holds uniformly with respect to $(s,t)\in\{(s,t):|s+b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}t|\leq S,0\leq t\leq S\}$, and using Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{|l|\leq N_2(u)+1}\left|\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in\widehat{J}_0(u)}\frac{X_{u,l}(s,t)}{1+(1+o(1))v^2(|s+bt|)}>u\right\}}{\Psi(u)}-\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}(S)\right|=0,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}(S)$ is defined in (4). Thus

$$\pi_2(u)\sim\sum_{|l|\leq N_2(u)+1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}(S)\Psi(u)\sim\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}\frac{2T_2}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u),\quad u\to\infty. \tag{27}$$

Observe that for u sufficiently large,

$$J_{k,l}(u) \subset \{(k-\mu)\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S - bl\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S \le s \le (k+1+\mu)\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S - bl\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S\} \times J_l(u) := \widehat{I}_{k,l}(u). \tag{28}$$

with $\mu = 2|b|\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}$. Let

$$X_{u,k,l}(s,t) = \overline{X}(s + k\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})S - bl\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S, l\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})S + t), (s,t) \in \widehat{I}_{0,0}(u), (k,l) \in L_u.$$

Thus in light of (7) and Lemma 6.1 we have

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{(k,l)\in L_u} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in\widehat{I}_{0,0}(u)} X_{u,k,l}(s,t) > u_k^{-\epsilon}\right\}}{\Psi(u_k^{-\epsilon})} - \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[-\mu S, (\mu+1)S]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S] \right| = 0.$$

Moreover, Potter's bound (see, e.g., [18]) shows that for u large enough and $S > 1$

$$u^2 v^2(|s|) \ge \frac{\gamma_1}{2} \frac{\rho_1^2(\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})|\frac{s}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1})}|)}{\rho_1^2(\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(u^{-1}))} \ge \mathbb{Q}|kS|^{\beta/2}, \quad s \in I_k(u), |k| \le N_1(u)+1, k \ne -1, 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(k,l)\in L_u} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_k^{-\epsilon}\right\} \\
&\le \sum_{(k,l)\in L_u} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in \widehat{I}_{k,l}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_k^{-\epsilon}\right\} \\
&= \sum_{(k,l)\in L_u} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in \widehat{I}_{0,0}(u)} X_{u,k,l}(s,t) > u_k^{-\epsilon}\right\} \\
&\sim \sum_{(k,l)\in L_u} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[-\mu S, (\mu+1)S]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S]\Psi(u_k^{-\epsilon}) \\
&\sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[-\mu S, (\mu+1)S]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S]\frac{2T_2}{S\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u) \sum_{|k|\le N_1(u)+1, k\ne -1,0} e^{-(1-\epsilon)u^2 \inf_{s\in I_k(u)} v^2(|s|)} \\
&\le \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[-\mu S, (\mu+1)S]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S]\frac{2T_2}{S\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u) \sum_{|k|\le N_1(u)+1, k\ne -1,0} e^{-\mathbb{Q}|kS|^{\beta/2}} \\
&\le \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[-\mu S, (\mu+1)S]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S]\frac{2T_2}{S\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u) e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1 S^{-\beta/2}} = o\left(\pi_2(u)\right), \quad u\to\infty, S\to\infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Analogously as in (23)-(25), we get that

$$\Sigma_i(u) = o(\pi_2(u)), i = 4, 5, \quad u\to\infty, S\to\infty.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\pi_1(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1, b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}} \frac{2T_2}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u), \quad u\to\infty. \tag{30}$$

This establishes the claim.

$\underline{\gamma_1 = \infty}$. For any $y > 0$, we have for u sufficiently large,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[-T_2,T_2]} X(-bt,t) > u\right\} \le \pi_1(u) \le \pi_3^y(u) + \sum_{(k,l)\in L_u} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)} \overline{X}(s,t) > u_k^{-\epsilon}\right\}, \tag{31}$$

where

$$\pi_3^y(u) = \sum_{|l|\le N_2(u)+1} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in\widehat{J}_l(u)} \frac{\overline{X}(s,t)}{1+y\rho_1^2(|s+bt|)} > u\right\}.$$

By (27), we have

$$\pi_3^y(u) \sim \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{y,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}(S)}{S} \frac{2T_2}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u), \quad u\to\infty.$$

Duo to

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{y,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}(S) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\sup_{t\in[0,S]} W_{\alpha_1,\alpha_1}(-b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}t,t)}\right) = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}[0, (|b|^{\alpha_1}\eta^{-1}+1)^{1/\alpha_1}S],$$

we have

$$\pi_3^y(u) \sim (|b|^{\alpha_1}\eta^{-1}+1)^{1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\frac{2T_2}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u), \quad u\to\infty, y\to\infty, S\to\infty. \tag{32}$$

Observe that $X(-bt,t), t\in[-T_2,T_2]$ is a Gaussian process with variance 1 and correlation function satisfying

$$1-Cor(X(-bt,t),X(-bs,s)) \sim \rho_1^2(|b(t-s)|)+\rho_2^2(|t-s|) \sim (|b|^{\alpha_1}\eta^{-1}+1)\rho_2^2(|t-s|), \quad |t-s|\to 0,$$

and

$$Cor(X(-bt,t),X(-bs,s))<1, \quad t\neq s, s,t\in[-T_2,T_2].$$

In light of Lemma 7.1 in [4] and substituting the polynomial function by $(|b|^{\alpha_1}\eta^{-1}+1)^{1/\alpha_1}/\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[-T_2,T_2]}X(-bt,t)\right\} \sim (|b|^{\alpha_1}\eta^{-1}+1)^{1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\frac{2T_2}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(u^{-1})}\Psi(u),$$

which combined with (29) and (32) completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1 . The proof of this theorem follows line by line the same as the proof of Theorem 2.2 by letting $b=0$ except some tiny modification. Here we just point out several arguments that require special attention.
$\underline{\gamma_1=0}$. The derivation of (20): By Lemma 6.1 in [17], we have that for any $0<\epsilon<1$, as u sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{v^2(|s|)}{v^2(|s'|)} &\geq (1-\epsilon/2)\min\left(\left|\frac{s}{s'}\right|^{\beta-\epsilon},\left|\frac{s}{s'}\right|^{\beta+\epsilon}\right)\\ &\geq (1-\epsilon/2)\left(\frac{|k|-1}{|k|+1}\right)^{\beta+\epsilon}, \quad s,s'\in I_k(u), 2\leq|k|\leq N_1(u)+2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $0<\epsilon<1$, there exists $k_\epsilon>2$ such that for u large enough,

$$\inf_{s\in I_k(u)}v^2(|s|)\geq(1-\epsilon)\sup_{s\in I_k(u)}v^2(|s|), \quad k_\epsilon\leq|k|\leq N_1(u)+2.$$

$\underline{\gamma_1\in(0,\infty)}$. Note that from (27) to (30), $b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}\big|_{b=0}$ may have no meaning since $\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{\rho_2^2(t)}{\rho_1^2(t)}\in[0,\infty]$. Replacing $b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}$ by 0 from (27) to (30), we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}(S)=\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1}[-S,S]\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}[0,S], \quad \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1,b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}}=\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}.$$

Moreover, μ, which appears from (28) to (29), should also be substituted by 0.
$\underline{\gamma_1=\infty}$. Letting $b=0$ and $b\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}=0$ in the proof of $\gamma_1=\infty$ in Theorem 2.2 establishes the claim. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Due to **F**, we know that $f'(t)\neq 0$ for $t\in(T_1,T_2)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f'(t)>0, t\in(T_1,T_2)$. Let $Y(s,t)=X(s,\overleftarrow{f}(s-t)),(s,t)\in E_1:=\{(s,t):S_1\leq s\leq S_2, f(T_1)\leq s-t\leq f(T_2)\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in[S_1,S_2]\times[T_1,T_2]}X(s,t)>u\right\}=\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1}Y(s,t)>u\right\}.$$

Clearly, $\sigma_Y(s,t),(s,t)\in E_1$ attains its maximum over the line $\{(s,0):f(T_1)\leq s\leq f(T_2)\}$. Moreover, by (11), (12) and Lemma 6.4 in [17], we have

$$1-r_Y(s,t,s',t')\sim\rho_1^2(|s-s_1|)+\rho_2^2\left(\frac{|s-s'-(t-t')|}{f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))}\right)\sim\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+\rho_2^2\left(\frac{|t-t'|}{f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))}\right), \quad t,t'\to 0, |s-s'|\to 0,$$

and

$$1-\sigma_Y(s,t)\sim v^2(g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))|t|), \quad |t|\to 0.$$

Let $E_2=[f(T_1)+\delta,f(T_2)-\delta]\times[-\delta,\delta]$, $E_3=[f(T_1)-\delta,f(T_1)+\delta]\times[-\delta,\delta]$ and $E_4=[f(T_2)-\delta,f(T_2)+\delta]\times[-\delta,\delta]$. Then for u large enough, we have

$$\pi_{4,\delta}(u)\leq\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1}Y(s,t)>u\right\}\leq\sum_{i=4}^{6}\pi_{i,\delta}(u)+\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1\setminus\{(s,t):|t|\leq\delta\}}Y(s,t)>u\right\} \tag{33}$$

where

$$\pi_{i,\delta}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1\cap E_{i-2}}Y(s,t)>u\right\},\quad i=4,5,6.$$

We first focus on $\pi_{4,\delta}(u)$. Denote by $F_k=[s_k,s_{k+1}],0\le k\le n$ with $s_k=f(T_1)+\delta+\frac{k(f(T_2)-f(T_1)-2\delta)}{n}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u\right\}-\sum_{i=6}^{7}\Sigma_i(u)\le\pi_{4,\delta}(u)\le\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u\right\},\tag{34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_6(u)&=\sum_{0\le k\le n-1}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u,\sup_{(s,t)\in F_{k+1}\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u\right\},\\ \Sigma_7(u)&=\sum_{0\le k+1<l\le n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u,\sup_{(s,t)\in F_l\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $Z(s,t)$ be a homogeneous Gaussian field with variance 1 and correlation function satisfying

$$1-r_Z(s,t,s',t')\sim\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+\rho_2^2(|t-t'|),\quad |s-s'|,|t-t'|\to 0.\tag{35}$$

For any $0<\epsilon<1/2$, if n sufficiently large and δ sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned}&1-r_Z\left((1-\epsilon)s,(1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t,(1-\epsilon)s',(1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t'\right)\\ &\le 1-r_Y(s,t,s',t')\\ &\le 1-r_Z\left((1+\epsilon)s,(1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t,(1+\epsilon)s',(1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t'\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1-\epsilon)|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|^{\beta}v^2(|t|)\le 1-\sigma_Y(s,t)\le(1+\epsilon)|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|^{\beta}v^2(|t|)$$

hold for $(s,t),(s',t')\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]$ and $1\le k\le n$. Thus by Slepian inequality ([28]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}\frac{Z((1-\epsilon)s,(1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1+\epsilon)|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|^{\beta}v^2(|t|)}>u\right\}&\le\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u\right\}\\ &\le\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}\frac{Z((1+\epsilon)s,(1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1-\epsilon)|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|^{\beta}v^2(|t|)}>u\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation yields that

$$\begin{aligned}&1-r_Z\left((1+\epsilon)s,(1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t,(1+\epsilon)s',(1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t'\right)\\ &\sim(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha_1}\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha_2}(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-\alpha_2}\rho_2^2(|t-t'|),\quad |s-s'|,|t-t'|\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Case $\gamma_2=0$. For simplicity, we denote by

$$\Theta(u):=2\Gamma(1/\beta+1)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\right)\frac{\overleftarrow{v}(1/u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}\Psi(u).\tag{36}$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}\frac{Z((1+\epsilon)s,(1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1-\epsilon)|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|^{\beta}v^2(|t|)}>u\right\}\sim a_1(\epsilon)\frac{s_{k+1}-s_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|}\Theta(u),$$

with $a_1(\epsilon)=(1+\epsilon)^2(1-\epsilon)^{-1/\beta}$. Further, for $u\to\infty,n\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u\right\}\\ &\le a_1(\epsilon)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{s_{k+1}-s_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|}\right)\Theta(u)(1+o(1))\\ &\le a_1(\epsilon)\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\left|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))\right|^{-1}ds\Theta(u)(1+o(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, as $u \to \infty, n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t) > u\right\} \geq a_1(-\epsilon)\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta} \left|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))\right|^{-1} ds\Theta(u)(1+o(1)).$$

Next we focus on $\Sigma_6(u)$ and $\Sigma_7(u)$. By the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t) > u, \sup_{(s,t)\in F_{k+1}\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t) > u\right\} \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t) > u\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_{k+1}\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t) > u\right\} - \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in (F_k\cup F_{k+1})\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t) > u\right\}, \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

and using Theorem 2.1 we have, as $u \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_6(u) &\leq \Theta(u)\left(\sum_{0\leq k\leq n-1} a_1(\epsilon)\left(\frac{s_{k+1}-s_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|} + \frac{s_{k+2}-s_{k+1}}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_{k+1}))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_{k+1}))|}\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{0\leq k\leq n-1} a_1(-\epsilon)\frac{s_{k+2}-s_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|}\right) \\
&:= b(n,\epsilon)\Theta(u). \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t) > u, \sup_{(s,t)\in F_l\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t) > u\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t,s',t')\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]\times F_l\times[-\delta,\delta]} Y(s,t)+Y(s',t') > 2u\right\}.$$

Since for any n and δ, there exists $0 < \epsilon(n,\delta) < 1$ such that

$$\sup_{0\leq k+1<l\leq n}\ \sup_{(s,t,s',t')\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]\times F_l\times[-\delta,\delta]} Var\left(Y(s,t)+Y(s',t')\right) < 4-\epsilon(n,\delta),$$

then Borell-TIS inequality ([28]) leads to

$$\Sigma_7(u) \leq \sum_{0\leq k+1<l\leq n} e^{-\frac{(2u-2a)^2}{2(4-\epsilon(n,\delta))}} \leq n^2 e^{-\frac{(2u-2a)^2}{2(4-\epsilon(n,\delta))}} = o\left(\Theta(u)\right), \quad u\to\infty, \qquad (39)$$

with $a = \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1} Y(s,t)\right)\right\}$. Note that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty} b(n,\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} 2\left(a_1(\epsilon)-a_1(-\epsilon)\right)\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\left|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))\right|^{-1} ds = 0.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\left|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))\right|^{-1} ds &\leq \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{4,\delta}(u)}{\Theta(u)} \\
&\leq \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{4,\delta}(u)}{\Theta(u)} \\
&\leq \int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\left|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))\right|^{-1} ds \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

Next we focus on $\pi_{5,\delta}(u)$. By (11) and mean value theorem we have, for δ small enough,

$$1-r_Y(s,t,s',t') \leq 2\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+2\rho_2^2\left(|\overleftarrow{f}(s-t)-\overleftarrow{f}(s',t')|\right) \leq 2\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+2\rho_2^2\left(\frac{|s-s'-(t-t')|}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(\theta))|}\right),$$

with $(s,t),(s',t') \in E_1\cap E_3$ and $\theta \in (s'-t', s-t)$. Note that $\mathbf{F}$ implies that there exists $C>0$ such that $\frac{1}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(\theta))|} \leq C$, which leads to, for δ small enough,

$$1-r_Y(s,t,s',t') \leq 2\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+3C^{\alpha_2}\rho_2^2\left(|s-s'-(t-t')|\right), \quad (s,t),(s',t') \in E_1\cap E_3.$$

Further, by Lemma 6.4 in [17], we have for δ small enough,

$$1-r_Y(s,t,s',t') \leq 4\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+4C^{\alpha_2}\rho_2^2\left(|t-t'|\right)$$

$$\leq \quad 1-r_Z(8^{1/\alpha_1}s,8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct,8^{1/\alpha_1}s',8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct'),\quad (s,t),(s',t')\in E_1\cap E_3. \tag{41}$$

Moreover, by (12), for δ sufficiently small

$$1-\sigma_Y(s,t)\geq \frac{c_1^\beta}{2}v^2(|t|),\quad s,t\in E_1\cap E_3. \tag{42}$$

Thus, by Slepian inequality, for δ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{5,\delta}(u) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1\cap E_3}\frac{Z(8^{1/\alpha_1}s,8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct)}{1+\frac{c_1^\beta}{4}v^2(|t|)}>u\right\}\\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_3}\frac{Z(8^{1/\alpha_1}s,8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct)}{1+\frac{c_1^\beta}{4}v^2(|t|)}>u\right\}\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in [-\delta,\delta]^2}\frac{Z(8^{1/\alpha_1}s,8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct)}{1+\frac{c_1^\beta}{4}v^2(|t|)}>u\right\}. \end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

Further, applying Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\pi_{5,\delta}(u)\leq 2\delta 8^{1/\alpha_1+1/\alpha_2}4^{1/\beta}c_1^{-1}C\Theta(u)(1+o(1)),\quad u\to\infty. \tag{44}$$

Similarly,

$$\pi_{6,\delta}(u)\leq 2\delta 8^{1/\alpha_1+1/\alpha_2}4^{1/\beta}c_1^{-1}C\Theta(u)(1+o(1)),\quad u\to\infty. \tag{45}$$

Finally, we focus on $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1\setminus\{(s,t):|t|<\delta\}}Y(s,t)>u\right\}$. By Borell-TIS inequality ([28]) and the fact that

$$\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1\setminus\{(s,t):|t|<\delta\}}\sigma_Y(s,t)\leq 1-\epsilon,\quad \text{with } \epsilon>0,$$

we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1\setminus\{(s,t):|t|<\delta\}}Y(s,t)>u\right\}\leq 2e^{-\frac{(u-a)^2}{2(1-\epsilon)^2}}=o\left(\Theta(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty, \tag{46}$$

with $a=\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1}Y(s,t)\right)\right\}$. Inserting (40), (44)-(46) into (33), letting $u\to\infty$, $n\to\infty$, $\delta\to 0$ and $\epsilon\to 0$ in turn, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1}Y(s,t)>u\right\} &\sim \int_{f(T_1)}^{f(T_2)}\left|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))\right|^{-1}ds\Theta(u)\\ &\sim \int_{T_1}^{T_2}|g(t)|^{-1}dt\Theta(u).\end{aligned}$$

Case $\gamma_2\in(0,\infty)$. By Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}\frac{Z((1+\epsilon)s,(1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1-\epsilon)|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s_k))|^\beta v^2(|t|)}>u\right\}\sim(1+\epsilon)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2}^{b(\epsilon,s_k)}(s_{k+1}-s_k)\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)},$$

with $b(\epsilon,s)=(1-\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)^{-\alpha_2}\gamma_2|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))|^{\alpha_2}$. Further, as $u\to\infty, n\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^n\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u\right\} &\leq (1+\epsilon)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\sum_{k=0}^n\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2}^{b(\epsilon,s_k)}(s_{k+1}-s_k)\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}(1+o(1))\\ &\leq (1+\epsilon)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2}^{b(\epsilon,s)}ds\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}(1+o(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, as $u\to\infty, n\to\infty$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_k\times[-\delta,\delta]}Y(s,t)>u\right\}\geq(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2}^{b(-\epsilon,s)}ds\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}(1+o(1)).$$

By (37) and Theorem 2.1, we have, as $u\to\infty$ and $n\to\infty$,

$$\Sigma_6(u)\leq\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}\sum_{0\leq k\leq n-1}\left((1+\epsilon)\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2}^{b(\epsilon,s_k)}(s_{k+1}-s_k)+(1+\epsilon)\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2}^{b(\epsilon,s_{k+1})}(s_{k+2}-s_{k+1})-(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_2}^{b(-\epsilon,s_k)}(s_{k+2}-s_k)\right).$$

By the continuity of $\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}_{\alpha_2}$ with respect to γ for $\gamma \in (0,\infty)$, we have that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{0\le k\le n-1}\left((1+\epsilon)\mathcal{P}^{b(\epsilon,s_k)}_{\alpha_2}(s_{k+1}-s_k)+(1+\epsilon)\mathcal{P}^{b(\epsilon,s_{k+1})}_{\alpha_2}(s_{k+2}-s_{k+1})-(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{P}^{b(-\epsilon,s_k)}_{\alpha_2}(s_{k+2}-s_k)\right)$$
$$=2\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\mathcal{P}^{b(0,s)}_{\alpha_2}ds-2\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\mathcal{P}^{b(0,s)}_{\alpha_2}ds=0.$$

Using the same argument as given in (39), we have that

$$\Sigma_7(u)=o\left(\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}\right),\quad u\to\infty.$$

Therefore, in view of (34) we conclude that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{4,\delta}(u)}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}}=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\mathcal{P}^{\gamma_2|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))|^{\alpha_2}}_{\alpha_2}ds.$$

By (43) and Theorem 2.1, we have that for δ sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{5,\delta}(u) &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in[-\delta,\delta]^2}\frac{Z(8^{1/\alpha_1}s,8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct)}{1+\frac{c_1^\beta}{4}v^2(|t|)}>u\right\}\\ &\le 2\delta 8^{1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{P}^{2^{\gamma_2}c_1^{\alpha_2}C^{\alpha_2}}_{\alpha_2}\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}(1+o(1)),\quad u\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for δ small enough,

$$\pi_{6,\delta}(u)\le 2\delta 8^{1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{P}^{2^{\gamma_2}c_1^{\alpha_2}C^{\alpha_2}}_{\alpha_2}\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}(1+o(1)),\quad u\to\infty.$$

Thus it follows from the above asymptotics and (33) that

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1}Y(s,t)>u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}} &\ge \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\mathcal{P}^{\gamma_2|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))|^{\alpha_2}}_{\alpha_2}ds,\\ \limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1}Y(s,t)>u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}} &\le \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta}\mathcal{P}^{\gamma_2|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))|^{\alpha_2}}_{\alpha_2}ds+4\delta\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{P}^{2^{\gamma_2}c_1^{\alpha_2}C^{\alpha_2}}_{\alpha_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta\to 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1}Y(s,t)>u\right\} &\sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}\int_{f(T_1)}^{f(T_2)}\mathcal{P}^{\gamma_2|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))|^{\alpha_2}}_{\alpha_2}ds\\ &\sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_1(1/u)}\int_{T_1}^{T_2}\mathcal{P}^{\gamma_2|g(t)f'(t)|^{\alpha_2}}_{\alpha_2}|f'(t)|dt.\end{aligned}$$

Case $\gamma_2=\infty$. Replacing Piterbarg constants by 1 in the proof of case $\gamma_2\in(0,\infty)$, we can establish the claim. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2 Without loss of generality, we assume that $f'(t)>0, t\in(T_1,T_2)$. Let $Y_1(s,t)=X(s,\overleftarrow{f}(t)),(s,t)\in F_1:=\{(s,t):S_1\le s\le S_2, f(T_1)\le t\le f(T_2)\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in[S_1,S_2]\times[T_1,T_2]}X(s,t)>u\right\}=\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1}Y_1(s,t)>u\right\}.$$

Note that $\sigma_{Y_1}(s,t),(s,t)\in F_1$ attains its maximum over the line $\{(t,t),f(T_1)\le t\le f(T_2)\}$ and satisfies

$$1-\sigma_{Y_1}(s,t)\sim v^2(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|s-t|),\quad |s-t|\to 0,(s,t)\in F_1.$$

Moreover,

$$1-r_{Y_1}(s,t,s',t')\sim\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+\rho_2^2\left(\frac{|t-t'|}{f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))}\right),\quad |s-s'|,|t-t'|,|s-t|\to 0,(s',t'),(s,t)\in F_1.$$

Let $F_2 = \{(s,t) : |s-t| < \delta, f(T_1)+\delta \le t \le f(T_2)-\delta\}$, $F_3 = \{(s,t) : |s-t| < \delta, f(T_1) \le t \le f(T_1)+\delta\}$, $F_4 = \{(s,t) : |s-t| < \delta, f(T_2)-\delta \le t \le f(T_2)\}$. We have

$$\pi_{7,\delta}(u) \le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\} \le \sum_{i=7}^{9} \pi_{i,\delta}(u) + \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1\setminus\{(s,t):|s-t|\le\delta\}} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\}, \tag{47}$$

where $\pi_{i,\delta}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_{i-5}\cap F_1} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\}, i = 7,8,9$. Let $G_k = \{(s,t) : |s-t| < \delta, t_k \le t \le t_{k+1}\}$ with $t_k = f(T_1)+\delta+\frac{k(f(T_2)-f(T_1)-2\delta)}{n}, 0 \le k \le n$. We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\} - \sum_{i=8}^{9} \Sigma_i(u) \le \pi_{7,\delta}(u) \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\}, \tag{48}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_8(u) &= \sum_{0\le k\le n-1} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_1(s,t) > u, \sup_{(s,t)\in G_{k+1}} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\},\\
\Sigma_9(u) &= \sum_{0\le k<l\le n, l\ge k+2} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_1(s,t) > u, \sup_{(s,t)\in G_l} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, let $Z(s,t)$ be a homogeneous Gaussian field with variance 1 and correlation function satisfying (35). Then it follows that for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ sufficiently small, if δ sufficiently small and n sufficiently large, then

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - r_{Y_1}(s,t,s',t') &\ge 1 - r_Z\left((1-\epsilon)s, (1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t, (1-\epsilon)s', (1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t'\right),\\
1 - r_{Y_1}(s,t,s',t') &\le 1 - r_Z\left((1+\epsilon)s, (1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t, (1+\epsilon)s', (1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t'\right)
\end{aligned}$$

hold for $(s,t),(s',t') \in G_k, 0 \le k \le n$, and

$$(1-\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta}v^2(|s-t|) \le 1 - \sigma_{Y_1}(s,t) \le (1+\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta}v^2(|s-t|)$$

holds for $(s,t) \in G_k, 0 \le k \le n$. By Slepian inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} \frac{Z((1-\epsilon)s, (1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1+\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta}v^2(|s-t|)} > u\right\} &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\}\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} \frac{Z((1+\epsilon)s, (1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1-\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta}v^2(|s-t|)} > u\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&1 - r_Z\left((1\pm\epsilon)s, (1\pm\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t, (1\pm\epsilon)s', (1\pm\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t'\right)\\
&\sim (1\pm\epsilon)^{\alpha_1}\rho_1^2(|s-s'|) + (1\pm\epsilon)^{\alpha_2}(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-\alpha_2}\rho_2^2(|t-t'|), \quad |s-s'|, |t-t'| \to 0, |s-t| \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for $(s,t),(s',t') \in G_k, 0 \le k \le n$.

Case $\gamma_1 = 0$. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} \frac{Z((1\pm\epsilon)s, (1\pm\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1\mp\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta}v^2(|s-t|)} > u\right\} \sim a_1(\pm\epsilon)\frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\Theta(u), \quad u \to \infty, \tag{49}$$

where $\Theta(u)$ is defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and $a_1(\pm\epsilon) = (1\mp\epsilon)^{-1/\beta}(1\pm\epsilon)^2$. This implies that, as $u \to \infty$,

$$a_1(-\epsilon)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|} \le \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\}}{\Theta(u)} \le a_1(\epsilon)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|} \tag{50}$$

Using the same arguments as given in (38) and (39), we have that, as $u \to \infty$,

$$\Sigma_8(u) \le b_1(n,\epsilon)\Theta(u), \quad \Sigma_9(u) = o\left(\Theta(u)\right), \tag{51}$$

where $b_1(n,\epsilon)>0$ is function of n and ϵ such that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty} b_1(n,\epsilon)=0$. Similarly as in (41)- (43), we have for $i=8,9$,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{i,\delta}(u) &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_{i-5}\cap F_1}\frac{Z(8^{1/\alpha_1}s,8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct)}{1+\frac{c_1^\beta}{4}v^2(|s-t|)}>u\right\}\\ &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_{i-5}}\frac{Z(8^{1/\alpha_1}s,8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct)}{1+\frac{c_1^\beta}{4}v^2(|s-t|)}>u\right\}\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in[-2\delta,2\delta]^2}\frac{Z(8^{1/\alpha_1}s,8^{1/\alpha_2}Ct)}{1+\frac{c_1^\beta}{4}v^2(|s-t|)}>u\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Further, applying Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{8,\delta}(u) &\le 4\delta 8^{2/\alpha}4^{1/\beta}c_1^{-1}C\Theta(u)(1+o(1)),\\ \pi_{9,\delta}(u) &\le 4\delta 8^{2/\alpha}4^{1/\beta}c_1^{-1}C\Theta(u)(1+o(1)),\quad u\to\infty.\end{aligned}\tag{52}$$

Combination of (47)-(52) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1}Y_1(s,t)>u\right\}}{\Theta(u)} &\le a_1(\epsilon)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}+8\delta 8^{2/\alpha}4^{1/\beta}c_1^{-1}C,\\ \liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1}Y_1(s,t)>u\right\}}{\Theta(u)} &\ge a_1(-\epsilon)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{|g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}-b_1(n,\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n\to\infty$, $\epsilon\to 0$ and $\delta\to 0$ in turn, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1}Y_1(s,t)>u\right\} &\sim \int_{f(T_1)}^{f(T_2)}\left|g(\overleftarrow{f}(s))f'(\overleftarrow{f}(s))\right|^{-1}ds\Theta(u)\\ &\sim \int_{T_1}^{T_2}|g(t)|^{-1}\,dt\Theta(u).\end{aligned}$$

Case $\gamma_1\in(0,\infty)$. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k}\frac{Z((1\pm\epsilon)s,(1\pm\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1\mp\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^\beta v^2(|s-t|)}>u\right\} &\sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1\mp\epsilon)(1\pm\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\\ &\quad\times\frac{(1\pm\epsilon)(t_{k+1}-t_k)}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)},\quad u\to\infty,\end{aligned}$$

for $0\le k\le n$. Hence, as $u\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k}Y_1(s,t)>u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}} &\le \sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1-\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\frac{(1+\epsilon)(t_{k+1}-t_k)}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|},\\ \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k}Y_1(s,t)>u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}} &\ge \sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1+\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\frac{(1-\epsilon)(t_{k+1}-t_k)}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}.\end{aligned}\tag{53}$$

Using the same arguments as in (37), by Theorem 2.2 we have that

$$\Sigma_8(u)\le b_2(n,\epsilon)\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)},\quad u\to\infty,\tag{54}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}b_2(n,\epsilon) &= 2(1+\epsilon)\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1-\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\frac{(t_{k+1}-t_k)}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\\ &\quad-(1-\epsilon)\sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1+\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\frac{(t_{k+2}-t_k)}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty} b_2(n,\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} 2(1+\epsilon)\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1-\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|}\frac{1}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|}dt$$
$$-\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} 2(1-\epsilon)\int_{f(T_1)+\delta}^{f(T_2)-\delta} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1+\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|}\frac{1}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|}dt = 0.$$

Similarly as in (39), we have that, as $u\to\infty$,

$$\Sigma_9(u) = o\left(\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}\right). \tag{55}$$

Analogously as in (52), we derive that

$$\pi_{8,\delta}(u) \le \mathbb{Q}\delta\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}(1+o(1)),$$
$$\pi_{9,\delta}(u) \le \mathbb{Q}\delta\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}(1+o(1)), \quad u\to\infty,$$

which combined with (47)-(48) and (53)-(55) lead to

$$\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1} Y_1(s,t)>u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}} \le \sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1-\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\frac{(1+\epsilon)(t_{k+1}-t_k)}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}+2\mathbb{Q}\delta,$$
$$\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1} Y_1(s,t)>u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}} \ge \sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(1+\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\frac{(1-\epsilon)(t_{k+1}-t_k)}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}-b_2(n,\epsilon).$$

Letting $n\to\infty$, $\delta\to 0$ and $\epsilon\to 0$ respectively in the above inequalities, we derive that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1} Y_1(s,t)>u\right\} \sim \int_{f(T_1)}^{f(T_2)}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t)))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{-1/\alpha_1}|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|}\frac{1}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|}dt\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}$$
$$\sim \int_{T_1}^{T_2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1(g(t))^{\alpha_1},-\eta^{1/\alpha_1}|f'(t)|}dt\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}, \quad u\to\infty.$$

Case $\gamma_1=\infty$. By Theorem 2.2, replacing $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{x,y}$ by $(|y|^{\alpha_1}+1)^{1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}$ in the proof of case $\gamma_1\in(0,\infty)$, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1} Y_1(s,t)>u\right\} \sim \int_{T_1}^{T_2}(\eta^{-1}|f'(t)|^{\alpha_1}+1)^{1/\alpha_1}dt\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}, \quad u\to\infty.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3 Without loss of generality, we assume that $f'(t)>0, t\in(T_1,T_2)$. Let $Y_2(s,t)=X(s+t,\overleftarrow{f}(t)), (s,t)\in F_1:=\{(s,t): S_1\le s+t\le S_2, f(T_1)\le t\le f(T_2)\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in[S_1,S_2]\times[T_1,T_2]} X(s,t)>u\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F} Y_2(s,t)>u\right\}.$$

Clearly, $\sigma_Y(s,t), (s,t)\in F_1$ attains its maximum on the line $\{(0,t): f(T_1)\le t\le f(T_2)\}$. Moreover, by (11), (12) and Lemma 6.4 in [17], we have for $(s,t),(s',t')\in F_1$

$$1-r_Y(s,t,s',t')\sim\rho_1^2(|s-s'+t-t'|)+\rho_2^2\left(\frac{|t-t'|}{f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))}\right)\sim\rho_1^2(|s-s'|)+\rho_2^2\left(\frac{|t-t'|}{f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))}\right), \quad s,s'\to 0, |t-t'|\to 0,$$

and

$$1-\sigma_Y(s,t)\sim v^2(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|s|), \quad |s|\to 0.$$

Let $F_5=\{(s,t): |s|\le\delta, f(T_1)+\delta\le t\le f(T_2)-\delta\}$, $F_6=\{(s,t): |s|\le\delta, f(T_1)-\delta\le t\le f(T_1)+\delta\}$, and $F_7=\{(s,t): |s|\le\delta, f(T_2)-\delta\le t\le f(T_2)+\delta\}$. Observe that

$$\pi_{10,\delta}(u)\le\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1} Y_2(s,t)>u\right\}\le\sum_{i=10}^{12}\pi_{i,\delta}(u)+\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F\setminus\{(s,t):|s|\le\delta\}} Y_2(s,t)>u\right\},$$

where $\pi_{i,\delta}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_{i-5}\cap F} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\}, i = 10,11,12$. Let $G_k = \{(s,t) : |s| < \delta, t_k \le t \le t_{k+1}\}$ with $t_k = f(T_1) + \delta + \frac{k(f(T_2)-f(T_1)-2\delta)}{n}, 0 \le k \le n$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\} - \sum_{i=10}^{11} \Sigma_i(u) \le \pi_{10,\delta}(u) \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{10}(u) &= \sum_{0\le k\le n-1} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_1(s,t) > u, \sup_{(s,t)\in G_{k+1}} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\},\\
\Sigma_{11}(u) &= \sum_{0\le k<l\le n-1, l\ge k+2} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_1(s,t) > u, \sup_{(s,t)\in G_l} Y_1(s,t) > u\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ sufficiently small, if δ sufficiently small and n sufficiently large, then

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - r_Y(s,t,s',t') &\ge 1 - r_Z\left((1-\epsilon)s, (1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t, (1-\epsilon)s', (1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t'\right)\\
1 - r_Y(s,t,s',t') &\le 1 - r_Z\left((1+\epsilon)s, (1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t, (1+\epsilon)s', (1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t'\right)
\end{aligned}$$

hold for $(s,t),(s',t') \in G_k, 0 \le k \le n$, and

$$(1-\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta} v^2(|s|) \le 1 - \sigma_Y(s,t) \le (1+\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta} v^2(|s|)$$

holds for $(s,t) \in G_k, 0 \le k \le n$. By Slepian inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} \frac{Z((1-\epsilon)s, (1-\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1+\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta} v^2(|s|)} > u\right\} &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\}\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} \frac{Z((1+\epsilon)s, (1+\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1-\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta} v^2(|s|)} > u\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&1 - r_Z\left((1\pm\epsilon)s, (1\pm\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t, (1\pm\epsilon)s', (1\pm\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t'\right)\\
&\sim (1\pm\epsilon)^{\alpha_1}\rho_1^2(|s-s'|) + (1\pm\epsilon)^{\alpha_2}(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-\alpha_2}\rho_2^2(|t-t'|), \quad |s-s'|, |t-t'| \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for $(s,t),(s',t') \in G_k$.

Case $\gamma_1 = 0$. Using the same arguments as given in (49)-(52), we derive that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\} \sim \int_{T_1}^{T_2} |g(t)|^{-1}\, dt\Theta(u), \quad u \to \infty.$$

Case $\gamma_1 \in (0,\infty)$. By Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} \frac{Z((1\pm\epsilon)s, (1\pm\epsilon)(f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{-1}t)}{1+(1\mp\epsilon)(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta} v^2(|s|)} > u\right\} \sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{b_3(\pm\epsilon,t_k)}(1\pm\epsilon)\frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}$$

with $b_3(\pm\epsilon,t_k) = (1\mp\epsilon)(1\pm\epsilon)^{-\alpha_1}\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k)))^{\beta}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{u\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}} &\le (1+\epsilon)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{b_3(+\epsilon,t_k)}\frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|},\\
\liminf_{u\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in G_k} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}} &\ge (1-\epsilon)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{b_3(-\epsilon,t_k)}\frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t_k))|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Following the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can get that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{u\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=10}^{11}\Sigma_i(u) + \sum_{i=11}^{12}\pi_{i,\delta}(u) + \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F_1\setminus\{(s,t):|s|\le\delta\}} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\}}{\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}} = 0.$$

Thus letting $u\to\infty$, $n\to\infty$, $\delta\to 0$ and $\epsilon\to 0$ in turn, we derive that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F} Y_2(s,t) > u\right\} \sim \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}\int_{f(T_1)}^{f(T_2)} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1(g(\overleftarrow{f}(t)))^{\beta}}\frac{1}{|f'(\overleftarrow{f}(t))|}dt\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}$$

$$\sim \quad \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}\int_{T_1}^{T_2}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1(g(t))^\beta}dt\frac{\Psi(u)}{\overleftarrow{\rho}_2(1/u)}.$$

Case $\gamma_1 = 0$. Letting $\mathcal{P}_\alpha^y = 1$ in the proof of case $\gamma_1 \in (0,\infty)$ establishes the claim. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1 Note that $\sigma(s,t) = \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(B_{\alpha_1}(s) + B_{\alpha_2}(t))}$ attains 1 at $\mathcal{L} = \{(s,t) : |s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2} = 1\}$. We first analyze local behavior of variance function as $|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2} \to 1$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
1-\sigma(s,t) &= 1-\sqrt{|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}}\\
&\sim \frac{1}{2}(1-|s|^{\alpha_1}-|t|^{\alpha_2})\\
&= \frac{1}{2}(1-|s|^{\alpha_1})(1-|t(1-|s|^{\alpha_1})^{-1/\alpha_2}|^{\alpha_2})\\
&\sim \frac{\alpha_2}{2}(1-|s|^{\alpha_1})(1-|t|(1-|s|^{\alpha_1})^{-1/\alpha_2})\\
&= \frac{\alpha_2}{2}(1-|s|^{\alpha_1})^{1-1/\alpha_2}\left||t|-(1-|s|^{\alpha_1})^{1/\alpha_2}\right|, \qquad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

holds as $|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2} \uparrow 1$ with $|s| \le 1-\delta$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Similarly,

$$1-\sigma(s,t) \sim \frac{\alpha_1}{2}(1-|t|^{\alpha_2})^{1-1/\alpha_1}\left||s|-(1-|t|^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1}\right| \qquad (58)$$

holds as $|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2} \uparrow 1$ with $|t| \le 1-\delta$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Next we focus on the local behavior of correlation function as $|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2} \to 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
1-r(s,t,s_1,t_1) &= 1-\frac{\mathbb{E}\left((B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))(B_{\alpha_1}(s_1)+B_{\alpha_2}(t_1))\right)}{\sqrt{|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}}\sqrt{|s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t_1|^{\alpha_2}}}\\
&= \frac{Var\left((B_{\alpha_1}(s)-B_{\alpha_1}(s_1)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)-B_{\alpha_2}(t_1))\right)-\left(\sqrt{|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}}-\sqrt{|s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t_1|^{\alpha_2}}\right)^2}{2\sqrt{|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}}\sqrt{|s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t_1|^{\alpha_2}}}\\
&\sim \frac{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2}-\left(\sqrt{|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}}-\sqrt{|s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t_1|^{\alpha_2}}\right)^2}{2}\\
&\sim \frac{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2}}{2}\left(1-\frac{(\sqrt{|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}}-\sqrt{|s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t_1|^{\alpha_2}})^2}{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

as $|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2}, |s_1|^{\alpha_1} + |t_1|^{\alpha_2} \uparrow 1$ and $(s,t) \neq (s_1,t_1)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(\sqrt{|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}}-\sqrt{|s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t_1|^{\alpha_2}}\right)^2}{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2}} &\le \frac{(|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}-|s_1|^{\alpha_1}-|t_1|^{\alpha_2})^2}{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2}}\\
&\le \frac{2\left(|s|^{\alpha_1}-|s_1|^{\alpha_1})^2+2(|t|^{\alpha_2}-|t_1|^{\alpha_2}\right)^2}{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2}}, \quad (s,t)\neq(s_1,t_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $|s| > |s_1|$ and let $x = \frac{s_1}{s}$. Then for $0 < \epsilon < \min_{i=1,2}\{\alpha_i, 2-\alpha_i\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(|s|^{\alpha_1}-|s_1|^{\alpha_1})^2}{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}} &= \frac{(|s|^{\alpha_1}-|s_1|^{\alpha_1})^2}{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1+\epsilon}}|s-s_1|^\epsilon\\
&\le |s-s_1|^\epsilon|s|^{\alpha_1-\epsilon}\sup_{x\in(-1,1)}\frac{(1-|x|^{\alpha_1})^2}{|1-x|^{\alpha_1+\epsilon}} \le \mathbb{Q}|s-s_1|^\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\frac{\left(\sqrt{|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}}-\sqrt{|s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t_1|^{\alpha_2}}\right)^2}{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2}} \le \mathbb{Q}(|s-s_1|^\epsilon+|t-t_1|^\epsilon), \quad (s,t)\neq(s_1,t_1).$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\sup_{(s,t)\neq(s_1,t_1),|s-s_1|\le\delta,|t-t_1|\le\delta,1-\delta\le|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2},|s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t_1|^{\alpha_2}\le 1}\left|\frac{2(1-r(s,t,s_1,t_1))}{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_2}}-1\right| = 0. \qquad (59)$$

Let

$$\Pi_{\delta_1,\delta_2,\delta_3,\delta_4}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F(\delta_1,\delta_2,\delta_3,\delta_4)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u\right\}$$

with $F(\delta_1,\delta_2,\delta_3,\delta_4)=\{(s,t):|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}\le 1,\delta_1\le s\le\delta_2,\delta_3\le t\le\delta_4\}$. Then by the symmetry of $B_\alpha(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)$, we have that for any $0<\delta<1$ and $0<\epsilon<\min\left(\delta,(1-\delta^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1}\right)$

$$4\Pi_{\epsilon,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u)-\sum_{1\le i<j\le 4}P_{i,j}(u)\le\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)\quad\le\quad 4\left(\Pi_{0,1,0,\delta}(u)+\Pi_{0,(1-\delta^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1},0,1}(u)\right) \tag{60}$$

where

$$P_{i,j}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F^i}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))>u,\ \sup_{(s,t)\in F^j}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))>u\right\},$$

with $F^1=F_{\epsilon,1,\epsilon,\delta}$, $F^2=F_{-1,-\epsilon,\epsilon,\delta}$, $F^3=F_{\epsilon,1,-\delta,-\epsilon}$, $F^4=F_{-1,-\epsilon,-\delta,-\epsilon}$. Noting that for $i\ne j$,

$$\sup_{(s,t,s',t')\in F^i\times F^j}Var\left(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)+B_{\alpha_1}(s')+B_{\alpha_2}(t')\right)<4-\delta_0,$$

by Borell-TIS theorem, we have

$$P_{i,j}(u)\le\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t,s',t')\in F^i\times F^j}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)+B_{\alpha_1}(s')+B_{\alpha_2}(t'))>2u\right\}\le e^{-\frac{(2u-a_0)^2}{2(4-\delta_0)}}=o\left(\Psi(u)\right),u\to\infty,$$

with $a_0=2\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sup_{(s,t)E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}}B_{\alpha_1}+B_{\alpha_2}\right)\right\}<\infty$. Hence,

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le 4}P_{i,j}(u)=o\left(\Psi(u)\right),u\to\infty. \tag{61}$$

Let $g_1(t)=\frac{\alpha_1}{2}(1-|t|^{\alpha_2})^{1-1/\alpha_1},\quad 0\le t<1$, $g_2(t)=\frac{\alpha_2}{2}(1-|t|^{\alpha_1})^{1-1/\alpha_2},\quad 0\le t<1$, $f_1(t)=(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1},\quad 0\le t<1$, and $f_2(t)=(1-t^{\alpha_1})^{1/\alpha_2},\quad 0\le t<1$.

Case $\alpha_2<1$. Since for any $0<\delta_2<1$,

$$0<\inf_{0\le t\le\delta_2}g_1(t)\le\sup_{0\le t\le\delta_2}g_1(t)<\infty,\quad\inf_{0<t\le\delta_2}|f_1'(t)|=\inf_{0<t\le\delta_2}\left\{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}t^{\alpha_2-1}\right\}>0, \tag{62}$$

then in view of (58) and (59) and by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.4, we have that, for $0\le\delta_1<\delta_2<1$,

$$\Pi_{0,1,\delta_1,\delta_2}(u)\sim\frac{2^{1-1/\alpha_1-1/\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2}(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}dtu^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2-2}\Psi(u). \tag{63}$$

Exchanging the coordinates of s and t in (57) and (59), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}1-\sigma(t,s)\quad&\sim\quad\frac{\alpha_2}{2}(1-|t|^{\alpha_1})^{1-1/\alpha_2}\left||s|-(1-|t|^{\alpha_1})^{1/\alpha_2}\right|,\quad|t|^{\alpha_1}+|s|^{\alpha_2}\uparrow 1,|t|\le 1-\delta,\\ 1-r(t,s,t_1,s_1))\quad&\sim\quad\frac{|s-s_1|^{\alpha_2}}{2}+\frac{|t-t_1|^{\alpha_1}}{2},\quad|s-s_1|,|t-t_1|\to 0,|t|^{\alpha_1}+|s|^{\alpha_2}\uparrow 1.\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Since for any $0<\delta_2<1$,

$$0<\inf_{0\le t\le\delta_2}g_2(t)\le\sup_{0\le t\le\delta_2}g_2(t)<\infty,\quad\inf_{0<t\le\delta_2}|f_2'(t)|=\inf_{0<t\le\delta_2}\left\{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(1-t^{\alpha_1})^{1/\alpha_2-1}t^{\alpha_1-1}\right\}>0, \tag{65}$$

then in view of (64) and by Theorem 3.3 and Remark 3.4, we have that, for $0\le\delta_1<\delta_2<1$,

$$\Pi_{\delta_1,\delta_2,0,1}(u)\sim\frac{2^{1-1/\alpha_1-1/\alpha_2}}{\alpha_2}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2}(1-t^{\alpha_1})^{1/\alpha_2-1}dtu^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2-2}\Psi(u). \tag{66}$$

Recalling that $0<\epsilon<\min\left(\delta,(1-\delta^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1}\right)$, we have

$$\Pi_{\epsilon,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u)\le\Pi_{0,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u)\le\Pi_{\epsilon,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u)+\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}\setminus E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))>u\right\}$$

with $E(u)=\{1-u^{-2}(\ln u)^2\le|s|^{\alpha_1}+|t|^{\alpha_2}\le 1\}$. Using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{(s,t)\in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}\setminus E(u)}Var\left(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)\right)\quad&\le\quad 1-u^{-2}(\ln u)^2,\\ Var\left(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)-B_{\alpha_1}(s')-B_{\alpha_2}(t')\right)\quad&\le\quad 2\left(|s-s'|^{\alpha_1}+|t-t'|^{\alpha_1}\right),\quad(s,t),(s',t')\in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2},\end{aligned}$$

and by Theorem 8.1 in [4] (or Lemma 5.1 in [30]), we have that, for u sufficiently large,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))>u\right\}\le\mathbb{Q}u^{4/\alpha_1}\Psi\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{1-u^{-2}(\ln u)^2}}\right)\le\mathbb{Q}u^{4/\alpha_1}e^{-\frac{(\ln u)^2}{2}}\Psi\left(u\right), \tag{67}$$

which combined with (63) leads to

$$\Pi_{\epsilon,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u) \sim \Pi_{0,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u), \quad u \to \infty. \tag{68}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{u\to\infty} \frac{\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)}{2^{1-1/\alpha_1-1/\alpha_2}\prod_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i} u^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2-2}\Psi(u)} &\le \frac{4}{\alpha_1}\int_0^{\delta}(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}dt + \frac{4}{\alpha_2}\int_0^{(1-\delta^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1}}(1-t^{\alpha_1})^{1/\alpha_2-1}dt,\\
\liminf_{u\to\infty} \frac{\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)}{2^{1-1/\alpha_1-1/\alpha_2}\prod_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i} u^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2-2}\Psi(u)} &\ge \frac{4}{\alpha_1}\int_{\epsilon}^{\delta}(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta \to 1$ in the above inequalities and by the fact that $0 < \epsilon < \min\left(\delta, (1-\delta^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1}\right)$, we have

$$\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u) \sim \frac{2^{3-1/\alpha_1-1/\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1}\prod_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}\int_0^1 (1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}dt u^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2-2}\Psi(u).$$

Case $\alpha_2 = 1$. Note that if $\alpha_2 = 1$, (62) and (65) hold. Thus in light of (58) and (59) and by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.4, we have that, for $0 \le \delta_1 < \delta_2 < 1$,

$$\Pi_{0,1,\delta_1,\delta_2}(u) \sim \frac{2^{-1/\alpha_1}}{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2}\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_1^1(1-t)^{1/\alpha_1-1}dt u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u).$$

By the fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_1^1 = 2$ (see, e.g., [4]), we have

$$\Pi_{0,1,\delta_1,\delta_2}(u) \sim 2^{1-1/\alpha_1}\left((1-\delta_1)^{1/\alpha_1} - (1-\delta_2)^{1/\alpha_1}\right)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u). \tag{69}$$

In light of (64) and by Theorem 3.3 and Remark 3.4, we have, for $0 \le \delta_1 < \delta_2 < 1$,

$$\Pi_{\delta_1,\delta_2,0,1}(u) \sim 2^{-1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2}\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_1^1 dt u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u) \sim 2^{1-1/\alpha_1}(\delta_2-\delta_1)\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u). \tag{70}$$

Combining (60)-(61)and (69)-(70) and by the fact that $\Pi_{\epsilon,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u) \sim \Pi_{0,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u), u \to \infty$(similarly as in (68)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{u\to\infty} \frac{\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)}{2^{1-1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u)} &\le 4\left(1-(1-\delta)^{1/\alpha_1}\right) + 4(1-\delta^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1},\\
\liminf_{u\to\infty} \frac{\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)}{2^{1-1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u)} &\ge 4\left((1-\epsilon)^{1/\alpha_1} - (1-\delta)^{1/\alpha_1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta \to 1$ in the above inequalities and noting that $0 < \epsilon < (1-\delta)^{1/\alpha_1}$, we have

$$\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u) \sim 2^{3-1/\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u).$$

Case $\alpha_2 > 1$. In view of (62), we have that for any $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < 1$,

$$0 < \inf_{0\le t\le\delta_2} g_1(t) \le \sup_{0\le t\le\delta_2} g_1(t) < \infty, \quad \inf_{\delta_1<t\le\delta_2}|f_1'(t)| = \inf_{\delta_1<t\le\delta_2}\left\{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_2-1}t^{\alpha_2-1}\right\} > 0.$$

Thus by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.4, we have that, for $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < 1$,

$$\Pi_{0,1,\delta_1,\delta_2}(u) \sim \frac{2^{-1/\alpha_1}\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2}(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}t^{\alpha_2-1}dt u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u). \tag{71}$$

Note that if $\alpha_2 > 1$, then $\lim_{t\to 0}|f_1'(t)| = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_2-1}t^{\alpha_2-1} = 0$. This implies that this case isn't covered by Theorem 3.1-3.3. We have to adopt another approach to deal with $\Pi_{0,1,0,\delta_1}(u)$. Observe that

$$\Pi_{0,1,0,\delta_1}(u) \le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F(0,1,0,\delta_1)\cap E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}\setminus E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u\right\}.$$

Next we focus on the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F(0,1,0,\delta_1)\cap E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)) > u\right\}$. Let $I_{k,l}(u) = [ku^{-2/\alpha_1}, (k+1)u^{-2/\alpha_1}] \times [lu^{-2/\alpha_2}, (l+1)u^{-2/\alpha_2}]$. Observe that for $0 < \delta_1 < 1/4$ and $0 \le l \le \left[\delta_1 u^{2/\alpha_2}\right] + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{(s,t) : lu^{-2/\alpha_2} \le t \le (l+1)u^{-2/\alpha_2}\} \cap (F(0,1,0,\delta_1)\cap E(u))\\
&\quad\subset \left[\left(1-(l+1)^{\alpha_2}u^{-2} - u^{-2}(\ln u)^2\right)^{1/\alpha_1}, (1-l^{\alpha_2}u^{-2})^{1/\alpha_1}\right] \times [lu^{-2/\alpha_2}, (l+1)u^{-2/\alpha_2}]\\
&\quad\subset \left[(1-l^{\alpha_2}u^{-2})^{1/\alpha_1} - b_l(u), (1-l^{\alpha_2}u^{-2})^{1/\alpha_1}\right] \times [lu^{-2/\alpha_2}, (l+1)u^{-2/\alpha_2}],
\end{aligned}$$

with $b_l(u)=\frac{2}{\alpha_1}(1-\delta_1)^{(1/\alpha_1-1)\wedge 0}\left(((l+1)^{\alpha_2}-l^{\alpha_2})\,u^{-2}+u^{-2}(\ln u)^2\right)$, which implies that for any $0\le l\le\left[\delta_1 u^{2/\alpha_2}\right]+1$ and u large enough,

$$\#\{k: I_{k,l}(u)\cap(F(0,1,0,\delta_1)\cap E(u))\neq\emptyset\}\le u^{2/\alpha_1}b_l(u)+1$$

Hence, by (59) and Lemma 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F(0,1,0,\delta_1)\cap E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))>u\right\}\\
&\le\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\delta_1 u^{2/\alpha_2}\right]+1}\sum_{I_{k,l}(u)\cap F(0,1,0,\delta_1)\cap E(u)\neq\emptyset}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)}>u\right\}\\
&\le\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\delta_1 u^{2/\alpha_2}\right]+1}\left(u^{2/\alpha_1}b_l(u)+1\right)\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,2^{-1/\alpha_i}]\Psi(u)\\
&\le\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,2^{-1/\alpha_i}]\left(\frac{4}{\alpha_1}(1-\delta_1)^{(1/\alpha_1-1)\wedge 0}\left(\delta_1^{\alpha_2}u^{2/\alpha_1}+\delta_1 u^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2-2}(\ln u)^2\right)+2\delta_1 u^{2/\alpha_2}\right)\Psi(u)\\
&\le\mathbb{Q}\delta_1 u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u),\quad u\to\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which combined with (67) yields that

$$\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_{0,1,0,\delta_1}(u)}{u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u)}\le\mathbb{Q}\delta_1. \tag{72}$$

Next we focus on $\Pi_{0,\delta_2,0,1}(u)$. Observe that, for any $0<\delta_2<1/4$,

$$\Pi_{0,\delta_2,0,1}(u)\le\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F(0,\delta_2,0,1)\cap E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))>u\right\}+\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}\setminus E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))>u\right\},$$

and for $0\le k\le\left[\delta_2 u^{2/\alpha_1}\right]+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{(s,t): ku^{-2/\alpha_1}\le s\le(k+1)u^{-2/\alpha_1}\}\cap(F(0,1,0,\delta_1)\cap E(u))\\
&\quad\subset[ku^{-2/\alpha_1},(k+1)u^{-2/\alpha_1}]\times\left[\left(1-(k+1)^{\alpha_1}u^{-2}-u^{-2}(\ln u)^2\right)^{1/\alpha_2},(1-k^{\alpha_1}u^{-2})^{1/\alpha_2}\right]\\
&\quad\subset[ku^{-2/\alpha_1},(k+1)u^{-2/\alpha_1}]\times\left[(1-k^{\alpha_1}u^{-2})^{1/\alpha_2}-\widetilde{b}_k(u),(1-k^{\alpha_1}u^{-2})^{1/\alpha_2}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

with $\widetilde{b}_k(u)=\frac{2}{\alpha_2}(1-\delta_2)^{1/\alpha_2-1}\left(((k+1)^{\alpha_1}-k^{\alpha_1})\,u^{-2}+u^{-2}(\ln u)^2\right)$. Since, for $0\le k\le\left[\delta_2 u^{2/\alpha_1}\right]+1$, with $\theta\in(0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{2/\alpha_2}\widetilde{b}_k(u)&=\frac{2}{\alpha_2}(1-\delta_2)^{1/\alpha_2-1}\left(\alpha_1(k+\theta)^{(\alpha_1-1)\vee 0}u^{2/\alpha_2-2}+u^{2/\alpha_2-2}(\ln u)^2\right)\\
&\le\mathbb{Q}\left(u^{\min(2/\alpha_2-2/\alpha_1,2/\alpha_2-2)}+u^{2/\alpha_2-2}(\ln u)^2\right)\to 0,\quad u\to\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

then for $0\le k\le\left[\delta_2 u^{2/\alpha_1}\right]+1$ and u sufficiently large,

$$\#\{l: I_{k,l}(u)\cap F(0,1,0,\delta_1)\cap E(u)\neq\emptyset\}\le 2.$$

Thus by (59) and Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in F(0,\delta_2,0,1)\cap E(u)}(B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t))>u\right\}\\
&\le\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\delta_2 u^{2/\alpha_1}\right]+1}\sum_{I_{k,l}(u)\cap F(0,\delta_2,0,1)\cap E(u)\neq\emptyset}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{k,l}(u)}\overline{B_{\alpha_1}(s)+B_{\alpha_2}(t)}>u\right\}\\
&\le 2\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\delta_2 u^{2/\alpha_1}\right]+1}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,2^{-1/\alpha_i}]\Psi(u)\\
&\le 4\delta_2\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,2^{-1/\alpha_i}]u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (67) implies that

$$\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_{0,\delta_2,0,1}(u)}{u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u)}\le 4\delta_2\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_i}[0,2^{-1/\alpha_i}]\le\mathbb{Q}\delta_2. \tag{73}$$

By the fact that $\Pi_{\epsilon,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u)\sim\Pi_{0,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u), u\to\infty$ (similarly as given in (68)), and $\Pi_{0,1,0,\delta}(u)\le\Pi_{0,1,\epsilon,\delta}(u)+\Pi_{0,1,0,\epsilon}(u)$, combination of (60)-(61) and (71)-(73) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)}{u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u)} &\le \frac{2^{2-1/\alpha_1}\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_\epsilon^\delta(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}t^{\alpha_2-1}dt+\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon+(1-\delta^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1}),\\ \liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)}{u^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u)} &\ge \frac{2^{2-1/\alpha_1}\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_\epsilon^\delta(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}t^{\alpha_2-1}dt.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta\to 1$ in the above inequalities and recalling that $0<\epsilon<\min(\delta,(1-\delta^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1})$, we have

$$\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)\sim\frac{2^{2-1/\alpha_1}\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\int_0^1(1-t^{\alpha_2})^{1/\alpha_1-1}t^{\alpha_2-1}dtu^{2/\alpha_1}\Psi(u).$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 4.2 Let $\alpha_1=\alpha_2=\alpha$. Note that in this case, (57)-(61) hold with α_1,α_2 replaced by α. We use the same notation as in the proof of Corollary 4.1.

Case $\alpha<1$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 4.1 and by Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.4, we have that

$$\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)\sim\frac{2^{3-2/\alpha}}{\alpha}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)^2\int_0^1(1-t^\alpha)^{1/\alpha-1}dtu^{4/\alpha-2}\Psi(u).$$

Case $\alpha=1$. Note that $1-\sigma(s,t)\sim\frac{1}{2}|s-(1-t)|$ as $s+t\uparrow 1$ for $0\le s\le 1, t\ge 0$. $f_1(t)=1-t$ and $g_1(t)=1/2$. $|f'(t)|=1, 0<t<1$. In light of (59) and by Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.4, we have that, for $0\le\delta_1<\delta_2\le 1$,

$$\Pi_{0,1,\delta_1,\delta_2}(u)\sim 2^{-1}\int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1^{1,-1}dtu^2\Psi(u)\sim 2^{-1}(\delta_2-\delta_1)\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1^{1,-1}u^2\Psi(u).$$

Similarly,

$$\Pi_{\delta_1,\delta_2,0,1}(u)\sim 2^{-1}\int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1^{1,-1}dtu^2\Psi(u)\sim 2^{-1}(\delta_2-\delta_1)\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1^{1,-1}u^2\Psi(u).$$

Following same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 4.1, we have that

$$\Pi_{-1,1,-1,1}(u)\sim 2\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1^{1,-1}u^2\Psi(u).$$

Case $\alpha>1$. Using Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.4, we have that, for $0<\delta_1<\delta_2<1$,

$$\Pi_{0,1,\delta_1,\delta_2}(u)\sim 2^{-1/\alpha}\mathcal{H}_\alpha\int_{\delta_1}^{\delta_2}\left((1-t^\alpha)^{1-\alpha}t^{\alpha(\alpha-1)}+1\right)^{1/\alpha}dtu^{2/\alpha}\Psi(u).$$

Following same arguments as in (72)-(73), we have that

$$\lim_{\delta_1\to 0}\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_{0,1,0,\delta_1}(u)}{u^{2/\alpha}\Psi(u)}=\lim_{\delta_2\to 0}\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_{0,\delta_2,0,1}(u)}{u^{2/\alpha}\Psi(u)}=0.$$

Hence,

$$\Pi_{0,1,0,1}(u)\sim 2^{-1/\alpha}\mathcal{H}_\alpha\int_0^1\left((1-t^\alpha)^{1-\alpha}t^{\alpha(\alpha-1)}+1\right)^{1/\alpha}dtu^{2/\alpha}\Psi(u).$$

This completes the proof. □

6. Appendix

Following Theorem 2.1 in [30] and Lemma 5.2 in [17], we present the uniform expansion of tail asymptotics of supremum of Gaussian fields over short intervals.

Let $\rho_i \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_i/2}$, $v_i \in \mathcal{R}_{\beta_i/2}, i = 1, 2$ be non-negative functions with $0 < \alpha_i \leq 2, \beta_i > 0, i = 1, 2$. Let $X_{u,k}(s,t), k \in \mathcal{K}_u$, with $\mathcal{K}_u$ representing the index set, be centered Gaussian random fields over $\mathcal{E}(u) := \{((1+o(1))\overleftarrow{\rho_1}(u^{-1})s, (1+o(1))\overleftarrow{\rho_2}(u^{-1})t), (s,t) \in \mathcal{E}\}$ with $\mathcal{E}$ an compact set containing 0. Moreover, $h_k(u), k \in K_u$ are positive functions of u satisfying $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{h_k(u)}{u} = 1$ uniformly with respect to $k \in K_u$. Suppose further that $X_{u,k}$ has unit variance, continuous trajectories and correlation function $r_k(s,t,s_1,t_1)$ satisfying (7) uniformly with respect to $(k,l) \in K_u$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $d_u(s,t), u > 0$ be continuous functions satisfying*

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{(s,t)\in\mathcal{E}(u), k\in\mathcal{K}_u} \left| h_k^2(u) d_u(\overleftarrow{\rho_1}(u^{-1})s, \overleftarrow{\rho_2}(u^{-1})t) - d(s,t) \right| = 0. \tag{74}$$

Then

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{k\in\mathcal{K}_u} \left| (\Psi(h_k(u)))^{-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\mathcal{E}(u)} \frac{X_{u,k}(s,t)}{1+d_u(s,t)} > h_k(u) \right) - \mathbb{E}\left\{ \left(e^{\sup_{(s,t)\in\mathcal{E}}\{W_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s,t) - d(s,t)\}} \right) \right\} \right| = 0,$$

with W_{α_1,α_2} defined right before (4).

Acknowledgement: Thanks to Krzysztof Dębicki and Enkelejd Hashorva for their suggestions. Thanks to Swiss National Science Foundation grant No. 200021-166274.

References

[1] J. Pickands, III, "Upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 145, pp. 51–73, 1969.

[2] V. I. Piterbarg, "On the paper by J. Pickands "Upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes"," *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 25–30, 1972.

[3] S. M. Berman, "Extreme sojourns of a Gaussian process with a point of maximum variance," *Probability theory and related fields*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 113–124, 1987.

[4] V. I. Piterbarg, *Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields*, vol. 148 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1996.

[5] Z. Michna, "On tail probabilities and first passage times for fractional Brownian motion," *Math. Methods Oper. Res.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 335–354, 1999.

[6] K. Burnecki and Z. Michna, "Simulation of Pickands constants," *Probab. Math. Statist.*, vol. 22, no. 1, Acta Univ. Wratislav. No. 2409, pp. 193–199, 2002.

[7] K. Dębicki, "Ruin probability for Gaussian integrated processes," *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. 151–174, 2002.

[8] A. B. Dieker, "Extremes of Gaussian processes over an infinite horizon," *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, vol. 115, no. 2, pp. 207–248, 2005.

[9] K. Dębicki and K. Kosiński, "On the infimum attained by the reflected fractional Brownian motion," *Extremes*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 431–446, 2014.

[10] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji, "Tail asymptotics of supremum of certain Gaussian processes over threshold dependent random intervals," *Extremes*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 411–429, 2014.

[11] V. I. Piterbarg, *Twenty Lectures About Gaussian Processes*. London, New York: Atlantic Financial Press, 2015.

[12] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and K. Tabiś, "Extremes of vector-valued Gaussian processes: Exact asymptotics," *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, vol. 125, no. 11, pp. 4039–4065, 2015.

[13] M. Arendarczyk, "On the asymptotics of supremum distribution for some iterated processes," *Extremes*, 2016,10.1007/s10687-016-0272-2.

[14] K. Dębicki and P. Kisowski, "Asymptotics of supremum distribution of $\alpha(t)$-locally stationary Gaussian processes," *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, vol. 118, no. 11, pp. 2022–2037, 2008.

[15] P. Liu and L. Ji, "Extremes of locally stationary chi-square processes with trend," *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, vol. 127, no. 2, pp. 497–525, 2017.

[16] V. I. Piterbarg and V. P. Prisjažnjuk, "Asymptotic behavior of the probability of a large excursion for a nonstationary Gaussian process," *Teor. Verojatnost. i Mat. Statist.*, no. 18, pp. 121–134, 183, 1978.

[17] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and P. Liu, "Extremes of Gaussian random fields with regularly varying dependence structure," *Extremes (2016). doi:10.1007/s10687-016-0276-y*.

[18] N. Bingham, C. Goldie, and J. Teugels, *Regular variation*, vol. 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[19] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji, "Extremes of a class of nonhomogeneous Gaussian random fields," *Ann. Probab.*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 984–1012, 2016.

[20] K. Dębicki, "Some properties of generalized Pickands constants," *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 396–404, 2005.

[21] D. G. Konstant and V. I. Piterbarg, "Extreme values of the cyclostationary Gaussian random process," *J. Appl. Probab.*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 82–97, 1993.

[22] K. Dębicki and P. Kisowski, "A note on upper estimates for Pickands constants," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 78, no. 14, pp. 2046–2051, 2008.

[23] A. B. Dieker and B. Yakir, "On asymptotic constants in the theory of Gaussian processes," *Bernoulli*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1600–1619, 2014.

[24] A. J. Harper, "Bounds on the suprema of Gaussian processes, and omega results for the sum of a random multiplicative function," *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 584–616, 2013.

[25] A. J. Harper, "Pickands' constant h_α does not equal $1/\gamma(1/\alpha)$, for small α," *Bernoulli, accepted*, 2015.

[26] A. B. Dieker and T. Mikosch, "Exact simulation of Brown-Resnick random fields at a finite number of locations," *Extremes*, vol. 18, pp. 301–314, 2015.

[27] K. Dębicki, S. Engelke, and E. Hashorva, "Brown-Resnick processes and Pickands-type constants," *http://arxiv.org/pdf/1602.01613.pdf*, 2016.

[28] R. Adler and J. Taylor, *Random fields and geometry*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, New York: Springer, 2007.

[29] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and P. Liu, "Ruin probabilities and passage times of γ-reflected Gaussian processes with stationary increments," *http://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.09234v1.pdf, 2015*.

[30] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and P. Liu, "Uniform tail approximation of homogenous functionals of Gaussian fields," *http://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.01430.pdf*, 2016.

Peng Liu, Department of Actuarial Science, University of Lausanne, UNIL-Dorigny 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland and Mathematical Institute, University of Wroclaw, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wroclaw, Poland
E-mail address: peng.liu@unil.ch